

Rockwell **Automation**

2018

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING AND PROXY STATEMENT

February 6, 2018 at 5:30 pm

Rockwell Automation, Inc.

1201 South Second Street
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53204, USA

MESSAGE FROM OUR PRESIDENT, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHAIRMAN-ELECT



“EPS was up 14% ... and we returned over \$725 million in capital to shareowners through share repurchases and dividends.”

December 13, 2017

Dear Fellow Shareowners:

I'm very pleased with our progress in fiscal 2017 to bring The Connected Enterprise to life for customers around the world. We integrate control and information across the enterprise to make industrial companies and their people more productive. The fundamental drivers of growth in our markets remain very strong, as do the compelling reasons that support our continued ability to increase market share. Nobody is better positioned in this market. Market-beating revenue growth continues to enable our superior financial performance, and consequently, shareowner value.

In fiscal year 2017, we delivered superior returns on your investment in Rockwell Automation. Our sales were up over 7%, EPS was up 14%, we had another good year of free cash flow conversion and return on invested capital, and we returned over \$725 million in capital to shareowners through share repurchases and dividends. We executed well on the three keys to our above-market revenue growth:

- **Share gains in core platforms:** Logix grew 10%. This multi-discipline, information-enabled control platform continues to help customers be more productive in discrete, hybrid, and process applications. Other parts of our core also continue to gain share.
- **Double-digit growth in Information Solutions and Connected Services:** Information Solutions and Connected Services are helping our customers reach new levels of productivity, and pilots are progressing to multi-site rollouts.
- **Execution of our acquisition strategy:** Our recent acquisitions contributed a point and a half of profitable revenue growth. They also accelerated the execution of our strategy by providing new forms of innovation in technology, additional application expertise, and new market access.

Our objective is to combine our capabilities and those of our partners to provide positive *business* outcomes for industrial companies. Examples of positive outcomes include increased production and flexibility for automobile powertrain suppliers moving into the Electric Vehicle market; faster startup of new snack food lines to capture market share in emerging markets; and remote monitoring of processes to reduce labor costs and increase safety. When we help our customers successfully compete, our value increases, and so does their loyalty.

Our differentiation helps us win across the automation and information landscape. Our technology innovation enhances performance, and we are focused on providing the ease of use and simplification that drive customer productivity. Increasing application expertise, organically and through acquisitions, allows us to help customers better identify and define their business problems and to apply our technology in the most effective manner. Our limited distribution model and other forms of market access continue to evolve to accommodate the crucial role of software and recurring services in the solutions we provide to customers.

The heart of our success is our people, working together in a single integrated business. Our employees create a culture that embraces new ideas and points of view and promotes doing business the right way. Winning the 2017 Catalyst Award recognizing our success in increasing diversity was a special honor, and demonstrated the impact of our long-term commitment to expanding opportunities for ALL employees. This fall we also graduated the first class of veterans from our Academy of Advanced Manufacturing, a program that will help close the manufacturing skills gap and give new opportunities to a group of people who richly deserve our efforts to help them get ahead.

As we look ahead, we'll continue our focus on the attractive industrial automation and information market, growing share by bringing The Connected Enterprise to life, and delivering the resulting superior returns to our shareowners.

Thank you for your support.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Blake Moret".

Blake D. Moret

President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman-Elect

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Notice of 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareowners	
2	Proxy Summary	
5	Proxy Statement	
5	2018 Annual Meeting	
5	Rockwell Automation	
6	Election of Directors	
6	Letter from Lead Independent Director	
7	Corporate Governance	
16	Board of Directors	
26	Director Compensation	
28	Director Compensation Table	
29	Compensation Committee Report	
29	Executive Compensation	
29	Compensation Discussion and Analysis	
42	Summary Compensation Table	
44	Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table	
46	Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table	
47	Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table	
48	Pension Benefits Table	
50	Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation	
51	Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table	
52	Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control	
55	Audit Matters	
55	Proposal to Approve the Selection of Independent Registered-Public Accounting Firm	
57	Audit Committee Report	
58	Proposal to Approve Compensation of our Named Executive Officers	
58	Why You Should Approve our Executive Compensation Programs	
58	Compensation Program is Highly Aligned with Shareowner Value	
58	Strong Pay-for-Performance Orientation	
58	Alignment with Shareowner Interests	
59	Compensation Program Has Appropriate Long-Term Orientation	
59	Compensation Committee Stays Current on Best Practices	
59	Summary of Good Governance and Risk Mitigating Factors	
60	Stock Ownership Information	
60	Ownership of Equity Securities of the Company	
61	Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	
62	Other Information	
62	Supplemental Financial Information	
63	Other Matters	
63	Annual Report	
63	Shareowner Proposals for 2019 Annual Meeting	
64	General Information about the Meeting and Voting	
64	Distribution and Electronic Availability of Proxy Materials	
64	Shareowners Sharing the Same Address	
64	Questions and Answers about the Annual Meeting and Voting	
67	Expenses of Solicitation	
67	Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Shareowners to be Held on February 6, 2018	

NOTICE OF 2018 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREOWNERS

To the Shareowners of ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.:

You are cordially invited to attend our 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareowners on Tuesday, February 6, 2018, at 5:30 p.m. (Central Standard Time). The meeting will be held in the Community Room at our Global Headquarters, 1201 South Second Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA for the following purposes:

Item 1 to vote on whether to elect as directors the four nominees named in the accompanying proxy statement;

Item 2 to vote on a proposal to approve the selection by the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2018;

Item 3 to vote on a proposal to approve on an advisory basis the compensation of our named executive officers;

and to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Important Meeting Information:

You will find information about the business to be conducted at the meeting in the attached proxy statement. At the meeting, you will have a chance to ask questions of general interest to shareowners. You can read about our performance in the accompanying 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition, we make available on our Investor Relations website at <https://ir.rockwellautomation.com/investors> a variety of information for investors.

Your vote is important to us. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, it is important that your shares are represented and voted. We encourage you to vote before the meeting by returning your proxy card or voting via the internet or by telephone. If you decide to attend the meeting, you will still be able to vote in person, even if you previously submitted your proxy. If you plan to attend the meeting, please follow the advance registration instructions on the outside back cover page of the proxy statement to obtain an admission card.

Distribution:

This year we are furnishing our proxy materials to our shareowners over the internet using "Notice and Access" delivery. We elected to use this method as it reduces the environmental impact of our annual meeting and our print and distribution costs.

By order of the Board of Directors.



Rebecca W. House

Secretary

December 13, 2017

Date and Time:

Tuesday, February 6, 2018
at 5:30 pm CST

Location:

Rockwell Automation
Global Headquarters,
1201 South Second Street,
Milwaukee, WI 53204

Record Date:

December 11, 2017

Who May Vote

You may vote if you were a shareowner of record at the close of business on the December 11, 2017 record date.

How to Cast Your Vote

You can vote by any of the following methods:

Internet



(www.proxyvote.com) until
February 5, 2018;

Telephone



(1-800-690-6903) until
February 5, 2018;

Mail



Complete, sign and return
your proxy by mail by
February 1, 2018;

In Person



In person, at the Annual Meeting: If you are a shareowner of record, your admission card will serve as proof of ownership. If you hold your shares through a broker, nominee or other intermediary, you must bring proof of ownership to the meeting.

Note: The Board of Directors solicits votes by the execution and prompt return of the accompanying proxy in the enclosed return envelope or by use of the Company's telephone or internet voting procedures.

PROXY SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider, and you should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting. Page references are supplied to help you find further information in this proxy statement.

Voting Matters

We are asking you to vote on the following proposals at the Annual Meeting:





**Item
1**

Election of Directors PAGE 6

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote **"FOR"** the election as directors of the four nominees.

Board Nominees PAGE 19

The following table provides summary information about each director nominee.

Name	Age	Director Tenure	Independent	Committee Memberships
 Betty C. Alewine Retired President and Chief Executive Officer, COMSAT Corporation (global satellite services and digital networking services and technology)	69	17	✓	Board Composition and Governance Technology and Corporate Responsibility (Chair)
 J. Phillip Holloman President and Chief Operating Officer, Cintas Corporation (corporate identity uniforms and related business services)	62	4	✓	Compensation Technology and Corporate Responsibility
 Lawrence D. Kingsley Former Chairman and CEO, Pall Corporation (filtration, separation and purification solutions for fluid management)	54	4	✓	Audit Compensation
 Lisa A. Payne Former Chairman of the Board, Soave Enterprises and President, Soave Real Estate Corp (diversified management and investment)	59	2	✓	Audit Compensation

Directors are elected by a plurality of votes cast, subject to our director resignation policy. If a director is elected by a plurality of votes cast but fails to receive a majority of votes cast, the director must tender his or her resignation to the Board for its consideration. See the subsection entitled "Election of Directors" on page 66 for more information about our director resignation policy.

Board and Governance Highlights

- All directors and nominees are independent (except our Chairman and our CEO)
- Balanced director tenure with three continuing directors having more than ten years of service and six with less than five years of service
- Balanced director ages with six directors under age 60
- Lead Independent Director
- Diverse Board
- By-laws provide for proxy access by shareowners
- Code of Conduct for all employees and directors
- Stock ownership requirements for officers and directors
- Anti-hedging and anti-pledging policies for officers and directors
- Annual ethics training
- Active shareowner engagement

Summary of Qualifications of Directors

The following chart highlights certain key qualifications represented by each director. Additional information about each director's experience and qualifications is set forth in each director's profile.

Skills/Attribute	Alewine	Holloman	Kalmanson	Keane	Kingsley	McCormick	Moret	Nosbusch	Parfet	Payne	Rosamilia	Watson
Leadership	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
International	•		•	•	•		•	•			•	
Finance	•			•	•				•	•		•
Industry		•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	
Risk		•	•	•		•			•	•		•
Technology	•	•				•	•	•		•	•	•
Other Information												
Age	69	62	65	58	54	73	55	66	65	59	56	51
Tenure	17	4	6	6	4	28	1	13	9	2	1	<1
Independent	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•
Other Public Company Boards	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	2	0	1

Item 2

Approval of Auditors PAGE 55

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote **"FOR"** the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

We ask our shareowners to approve the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending September 30, 2018. Below is summary information about fees paid to Deloitte & Touche LLP for services provided in fiscal 2017 and 2016 (in millions):

Year Ended September 30	2017	2016
Audit Fees	\$ 5.38	\$ 5.35
Audit-Related Fees	0.15	0.12
Tax Fees	0.18	0.00
All Other Fees	0.01	0.01
TOTAL	\$5.72	\$5.48

Item 3

Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation PAGE 58

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote **"FOR"** this item.

We ask our shareowners to approve on an advisory basis the compensation of our named executive officers. We believe our compensation programs and practices are appropriate and effective in implementing our compensation philosophy, support achieving our goals with appropriate levels of risk and are aligned with shareowner interests. Our executive compensation program includes:

- a balanced mix of long-term incentives, including stock options, performance shares and restricted stock, to motivate long-term performance and reward executives for absolute gains in share price and relative performance based on total shareowner return compared to the S&P 500 Index;

Executive Compensation PAGE 29

Our executive compensation program is designed to attract and retain executive talent and emphasize pay for performance. Our compensation program includes base salary, annual incentive compensation, long-term incentives, defined benefit and defined contribution retirement plans and a very limited perquisite package. Our compensation program includes the following key principles:

- Compensation decisions are based on a number of factors, including market compensation rates, Company performance against pre-established goals and the relative share performance of the Company compared to the broader stock market, as well as the experience and contributions of individual executives.
- A significant portion of an executive's compensation is directly linked to our performance and the creation of shareowner value.

- very limited perquisites;
- stock ownership requirements for officers;
- annual incentive compensation payouts tied directly to performance and capped at 200% of target, limiting excessive awards for short-term performance;
- multiple-year vesting of long-term incentive awards;
- claw-back agreements and a recoupment policy; and
- absence of employment contracts with our named executive officers.

- Long-term incentives reward management for creating shareowner value and align the financial interests of executives and shareowners.
- Incentive compensation payouts vary significantly from year to year based on performance compared to goals.

We seek sustained growth and performance through various activities that depend on our executives for their planning and execution. We believe it is important to align the compensation of our leadership with this growth and performance strategy through pay for performance. We believe our shareowners support this philosophy based on the overwhelming level of shareowner support for the proposal to approve the compensation of our named executive officers presented at our 2017 Annual Meeting.

PROXY STATEMENT

2018 Annual Meeting

The 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareowners of Rockwell Automation, Inc. will be held at 5:30 p.m. (Central Standard Time) on February 6, 2018, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareowners. This proxy statement and the accompanying proxy are furnished in connection with the solicitation by our Board of Directors of proxies to be used at the meeting and at any adjournment of the meeting. We will refer to the company in this proxy statement as “we,” “us,” “our,” the “Company” or “Rockwell Automation.”

This proxy statement and form of proxy are being distributed or made available to shareowners beginning on or about December 21, 2017.

Rockwell Automation

We integrate control and information across the enterprise to help industrial companies and their people be more productive. We are a leader in industrial automation and information; we make our customers more productive and the world more sustainable. Our products, solutions and services are designed to meet our customers’ needs to reduce total cost of ownership, maximize asset utilization, improve time to market and reduce enterprise business risk.

The Company continues the business founded as the Allen-Bradley Company in 1903. The privately-owned Allen-Bradley was a leading North American manufacturer of industrial automation equipment when the former Rockwell International Corporation (RIC) purchased it in 1985.

We were incorporated in Delaware in connection with a tax-free reorganization completed on December 6, 1996, pursuant to which we divested our former aerospace and defense business (the A&D Business) to The Boeing Company. In the reorganization, RIC contributed all of its businesses, other than the A&D Business, to us and distributed all of our capital stock to RIC’s shareowners. Boeing then acquired RIC.

Our principal executive office is located at 1201 South Second Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53204, USA. Our telephone number is +1 (414) 382-2000 and our website is located at www.rockwellautomation.com. Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol ROK.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

LETTER FROM LEAD INDEPENDENT DIRECTOR



Donald R. Parfet
Lead Independent Director

"...the Board enhanced its practices in the best interests of shareowners."

Dear Fellow Shareowner:

It is a privilege to serve as the lead independent director of the Board, working with a group of highly-engaged, talented and knowledgeable directors who share a deep commitment to independent leadership, proactive oversight and strong corporate governance. I would like to highlight the accomplishments of the past year and the ways the Board enhanced its practices in the best interests of shareowners.

Board Governance

Effective governance includes continued and thoughtful assessment of our governance practices and shareowner engagement on emerging governance issues and best practices. Last year, based on shareowner feedback and after evaluation by the full Board, the Board decided to follow the shareowner vote on the frequency of say on pay and continue to give shareowners an annual advisory vote on executive compensation. In addition, the Board adopted revised Audit Committee and Board Composition and Governance Committee charters, and created a lead independent director charter.

The adoption of the lead independent director charter and associated changes to the Board Composition and Governance Committee charter serves to formalize, strengthen and clearly define the role and responsibilities of the lead independent director. At a high level, the lead independent director works to ensure that the Board functions with appropriate independence from management and any non-independent directors and serves as the liaison between the independent directors and management. In response to shareowner feedback, the Board amended the Audit Committee charter to explicitly state that the Audit Committee will annually review whether to change audit firms and committed to enhance the disclosure in this proxy statement around the Audit Committee's oversight of the Company's independent audit firm. The lead independent director charter and amended Audit Committee and Board Composition and Governance Committee charters are available on the Rockwell Automation website.

Board Refreshment

The Board appreciates its responsibility to provide effective oversight and works to maintain a proper balance of tenure, diversity, skills and experience on the Board. We align Board skills with the Company's long-term strategies. The Board understands the importance of recruiting new directors to bring fresh perspectives and new ideas to the boardroom, and since 2015, we have added three new independent directors. As a whole, we are proud of our Board diversity in skills and backgrounds, including the increased number of female directors, which we discuss in more detail in this proxy statement.

Board Oversight

The collective skills and expertise of our directors offer the essential qualifications to provide effective oversight of the Company's business. The Board and its Committees regularly review the Company's strategic priorities, both long- and short-term, to best position the Company to create long-term value for shareowners.

It is, indeed, my privilege to serve as lead independent director of this Board and to work closely with the Chairman, the CEO, and the other directors, each of whom remains committed to serving your best interests. On behalf of the entire Board, thank you for your continued engagement and support.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Parfet", written in a cursive style.

Donald R. Parfet
Lead Independent Director

Corporate Governance

Governance Practices Overview

Good governance is a critical part of our corporate culture. The following provides an overview of certain of our governance practices:

Board of Directors

- Size of Board - 12
- Plurality vote with director resignation policy for failure to receive a majority of votes cast in uncontested director elections
- Lead Independent Director
- All directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting
- Generally directors do not stand for re-election after age 72

Board Alignment with Shareowners

- Annual equity grants align interests of directors and officers with shareowners
- Annual advisory approval of executive compensation
- Stock ownership requirements for officers and directors
- Active shareowner engagement

Board Composition

- Number of independent directors - 10
- Diverse Board with different backgrounds, experiences and expertise, as well as balanced mix of ages and tenure of service
- Six current and former CEOs
- Audit Committee has financial experts
- Three female directors and one African-American director

Compensation

- No employment agreements with officers
- Limited use of change of control agreements
- Executive compensation is tied to performance - 83% of CEO pay and 72% of other NEO pay is performance-based
- Anti-hedging and anti-pledging policies for directors and officers
- Recoupment policy and claw-back agreements

Board Processes

- Independent directors meet without management present
- Annual Board and Committee self-assessments and individual director and lead independent director evaluations
- Board orientation program
- Guidelines on Corporate Governance approved by Board
- Board plays active role in risk oversight
- Full Board regularly reviews succession planning for CEO and senior management

Integrity and Compliance

- Code of Conduct for employees, officers and directors
- Environmental, health and safety policies
- Annual training on ethical behavior is required for all employees

Shareowner Rights

- Confidential voting policy
- By-laws provide proxy access to shareowners

Other

- Employees may vote their shares in Company-sponsored plans
- An independent inspector tabulates shareowner votes for the Annual Meeting
- Disclosure Committee to ensure timely and accurate disclosures in SEC reports

Board's Role and Responsibilities

Overview

The Board is responsible for proactively overseeing the business and affairs of the Company, including corporate governance, business strategy, business performance, executive compensation, capital management, and the Company's management, including succession and development. The Board is focused on helping the Company achieve long-term value creation for its shareowners and other stakeholders, and maintaining the Company's strong reputation for integrity and ethical conduct in all of the Company's relationships and business transactions.

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

The responsibility for managing risk rests with executive management. The Board has primary responsibility for oversight of management's program of enterprise risk management for the Company. The standing Committees of the Board address the risks related to their respective areas of oversight, and the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing the overall guidelines and policies that govern our process for risk assessment and management.

Management periodically reports to the Board regarding the system that management has implemented to assess, manage and monitor risks. Management also reports to the Board on the risks it has assessed to be the most significant, together with management's plans to mitigate those risks.

Our risk management system seeks to ensure that the Board is informed of major risks facing the Company. The Audit Committee provides oversight regarding financial risks. The Audit Committee receives regular reports on management policies and practices relating to the Company's financial statements and the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting. The Audit Committee also receives regular reports from the Company's independent auditors and general auditor as well as the General Counsel regarding legal and compliance risks. The Compensation Committee considers the risk implications of the incentives created by our compensation programs. The Technology and Corporate Responsibility Committee oversees risks related to technology, safety, and environmental protection, among other corporate responsibility matters. The Board Composition and Governance Committee oversees governance-related risks, including conflicts of interest, director independence, and board and committee structure and performance.

Our risk oversight is aligned with the Board's oversight of the Company's strategies and plans. Thus, the Board ordinarily receives reports on the risks implicated by the Company's strategic decisions concurrent with the deliberations leading to those decisions. From time to time, the full Board will receive reports from management on enterprise risks that are not specifically assigned to a specific Committee.

We believe we have an effective risk management system that fosters a culture of appropriate risk taking. We have strong internal processes and a strong control environment to identify and manage risks. We also believe that our current leadership structure, with Mr. Nosbusch serving as current Chairman and Mr. Moret serving as CEO and Chairman-elect, enhances the Board's effectiveness in overseeing risk. Both Mr. Nosbusch and Mr. Moret have extensive knowledge of the Company's business and operations that helps the Board to identify and address key risks facing the Company. Executive officers are assigned responsibility for managing the risks deemed most significant.

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2017 contains an extensive description of the most significant enterprise risks that we face.

Board's Role in Management Succession Planning/Organizational Health

Our Board considers succession planning and development to be a critical part of the Company's long-term strategy. The full Board oversees CEO and senior management succession and development plans and receives regular reports on employee engagement and retention matters. At least annually the Board reviews senior management succession and development plans with our CEO. With regard to CEO succession planning, the Board regularly discusses potential CEO candidates and their development and preparedness.

Board's Role in Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Matters

Corporate responsibility is an important priority for the Board and the Company. We have a strong reputation for being an ethical and responsible company and that starts with the tone set by the Board. The Board's Technology and Corporate Responsibility Committee reviews and addresses our diversity and inclusion and environmental protection and sustainability practices regularly, and reports its findings to the full Board.

Shareowner Engagement

We believe that effective corporate governance should include regular engagement with our shareowners. While we have always had regular dialogue with our investors about a variety of business and strategic matters, our engagement on corporate governance matters occurred primarily during proxy season until 2015, when we started a more formalized program for proactive engagement with our shareowners. During the fall, we invite our largest shareowners (excluding index funds and brokerage accounts) to have a call to discuss our corporate governance practices and executive compensation program. We also solicit input on topics of importance to our shareowners. We conduct additional outreach with our largest active shareowners during the proxy season, with post-meeting follow-up as appropriate. In fall 2017, we conducted outreach with our largest active shareowners representing approximately 23% of our outstanding shares. We discussed governance practices and trends, including virtual annual meetings and engagement practices, and our executive compensation program, and received feedback on topics important to our shareowners.

Shareowner feedback from our outreach calls and any shareowner letters that we receive are presented to and discussed with our Board. Our Board values the views of shareowners and considers shareowner feedback in establishing and evaluating appropriate policies and practices. Acting in line with shareowner feedback, this year we enhanced the disclosure in the proxy statement around auditor tenure and Audit Committee oversight of our auditors. In June 2016, after careful consideration of shareowner feedback and other information, our Board proactively adopted a proxy access by-law.

We believe that regular engagement with our shareowners helps to strengthen our relationships with shareowners, helps us to better understand shareowner views on our corporate governance practices and provides us with insights into governance and compensation topics and trends.

Board Structure

Leadership Structure

The Board takes a flexible approach to its leadership structure, allowing it to adapt its structure depending on current circumstances. The Board reviews its leadership structure at least annually and will vary it as circumstances warrant. The Board believes that the question of whether to separate or combine the roles of Chairman and CEO should be discussed and determined by the Board from time to time and that it depends upon the current performance of the Company and the experience, knowledge and temperament of the CEO.

Communications to the Board and Ombudsman

Shareowners and other interested parties may send communications to the Board, an individual director, the Lead Independent Director, the non-management directors as a group, or a Board Committee at the following address:

Rockwell Automation, Inc.

c/o Corporate Secretary
1201 South Second Street
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53204, USA
Attn: Board of Directors

The Secretary will receive and process all communications before forwarding them to the addressee. The Secretary will forward all communications unless the Secretary determines that a communication is a business solicitation or advertisement, or requests general information about us.

In accordance with procedures approved by the Audit Committee of our Board, concerns about accounting, internal controls or auditing matters should be reported to the Ombudsman as outlined in our Code of Conduct, which is available on our website at www.rockwellautomation.com, select "Sustainability & Ethics" at the bottom of the page, then under "Integrity & Compliance" click on "Code of Conduct." These standards are also available in print to any shareowner upon request. The Ombudsman is required to report promptly to the Audit Committee all reports of questionable accounting or auditing matters that the Ombudsman receives. You may contact the Ombudsman by addressing a letter to:

Ombudsman

Rockwell Automation, Inc.

1201 South Second Street
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53204, USA

You may also contact the Ombudsman by telephone at 1 (800) 552-3589 (US only) or +1 (414) 382-8484, e-mail at ombudsman@ra.rockwell.com, fax at +1 (414) 382-8485, or, if you wish to remain anonymous, by going to: <https://rockwellautomationombudsman.alertline.com>.

The Board separated the roles of Chairman and CEO on July 1, 2016 when Mr. Nosbusch stepped down as President and CEO, while remaining as Chairman, and Mr. Moret became President, CEO and a Board member.

This year the Board reconsidered its leadership structure and determined that it is in the best interests of the Company and its shareowners for Mr. Moret to serve as Chairman and CEO effective January 1, 2018. Mr. Nosbusch will continue as a member of the Board. The Board believes that this structure will enhance overall Board effectiveness and interaction with management, and will provide the Company with strong, clear leadership and strategic vision.

The Board believes that a unified structure is the right leadership structure for the Company at this time. This model has worked well for us in the past, and we believe it is the right model for us to successfully execute our strategy. In making this decision, the Board considered the Company's performance, operating and governance environment, investor feedback, and the Board's composition, functioning and effectiveness. The Board believes that Mr. Moret has the skills, experience and character to provide the Company with strong and effective leadership, including:

- successfully transitioned into the CEO role since July 2016,
- long experience and deep knowledge of the Company, its customers and its business operations and strategy,
- deep industry knowledge and expertise, and
- proven leadership skills with the vision necessary to lead the Board and our Company.

The leadership structure of the Board and Company is further strengthened by:

- the leadership provided by our Lead Independent Director, with defined roles and responsibilities set forth in a new Lead Independent Director Charter,
- refreshment/election of new Directors,
- the independence of all members of the Audit, Board Composition and Governance, Compensation, and Technology and Corporate Responsibility Committees,
- our governance guidelines and practices,
- our processes for evaluating the Board and management, and
- our reputation for integrity and strong commitment to compliance with the highest standards of legal and ethical conduct.

Lead Independent Director

Our Guidelines on Corporate Governance require the appointment of a Lead Independent Director in the event the Chairman is a management director. The Board believes that this framework further strengthens the leadership of the Company. In February 2016, the Board first elected Donald R. Parfet to serve as Lead Independent Director. Mr. Parfet is an experienced director having served as a senior executive of a pharmaceutical company, as lead director of another public company, and as an outside director on three public company boards (in addition to the Company).

In the fall of 2017, to formalize existing practices and strengthen the role of the lead independent director, the Board adopted a separate charter for the lead independent director. The duties and responsibilities of the Lead Independent Director include: work to ensure the Board functions with appropriate independence from management and other non-independent directors; preside at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present; preside at all executive sessions of the independent directors; act as a key liaison

between the Chairman, the CEO, and the independent directors; call meetings of the independent directors, when necessary; communicate Board feedback to the Chairman and CEO after each Board meeting (except that the Chair of the Compensation Committee will lead the discussion of the performance of the CEO and communicate the Board's evaluation of that performance to the CEO); collaborate with the Chairman to develop Board meeting agendas; and perform such other duties as the Board may request from time to time.

The Board's independent oversight function is further enhanced by the fact that all four Committees are comprised entirely of independent directors, the directors have complete access to management, the Board and these Committees may retain their own advisors and there is an annual evaluation by the independent Compensation Committee of our CEO's performance against predetermined goals.

The Board believes the current leadership structure is appropriate for the Company at this time, providing effective independent oversight of management and a highly independent, engaged and functioning Board.

Board Meetings and Committees

Our business is managed under the direction of the Board. The Board has established four standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Board Composition and Governance Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Technology and Corporate Responsibility Committee, whose principal functions are briefly described below. Each Committee has a written charter that sets forth the duties and responsibilities of the Committee. Current copies of the Committee charters are available on our website at <https://ir.rockwellautomation.com/corporate-governance/governance-documents/default.aspx>. The Committees review and assess the adequacy of their charters each year and recommend any proposed changes to the Board for approval. During fiscal 2017, each Committee reviewed its charter. The Audit Committee amended its charter to explicitly state that the committee annually reviews whether to change audit firms. The Board Composition and Governance Committee amended its charter to include responsibilities with respect to the lead independent director. The Compensation Committee amended its charter to make clarifying changes regarding its responsibilities with respect to review of compensation programs and shareholder approval of executive compensation programs. The Technology and Corporate Responsibility Committee did not make any changes to its charter.

In fiscal 2017, the Board held seven meetings and on four occasions acted by written consent in lieu of a meeting. All of the directors attended 100% (except one director who attended 88%) of the meetings of the Board and the Committees on which they served. Directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareowners. All of the directors attended the 2017 Annual Meeting.

Committees of the Board

Audit Committee

Roles and responsibilities:

- Assist the Board in overseeing and monitoring the integrity of our financial reporting processes, our internal control and disclosure control systems, the integrity and audits of our financial statements, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the qualifications and independence of our independent registered public accounting firm and the performance of our internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm.
- Appoint our independent registered public accounting firm, subject to shareowner approval.
- Approve all audit and audit-related fees and services and permitted non-audit fees and services of our independent registered public accounting firm.
- Review with our independent registered public accounting firm and management our annual audited and quarterly financial statements.
- Discuss with management our quarterly earnings releases.
- Review with our independent registered public accounting firm and management the quality and adequacy of our internal controls.
- Discuss with management our financial risk assessment and risk management policies.

Independence:

- All members of the Audit Committee meet the independence and financial literacy standards and requirements of the NYSE and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The Board has determined that Messrs. Keane, Kingsley and Parfet and Ms. Payne qualify as “audit committee financial experts” as defined by the SEC.

Fiscal 2017 Membership

James P. Keane (*Chair*)
Lawrence D. Kingsley
Donald R. Parfet
Lisa A. Payne
Thomas W. Rosamilia
Patricia A. Watson

Number of Meetings in Fiscal 2017: Seven

Board Composition and Governance Committee

Roles and responsibilities:

- Consider and recommend to the Board qualified candidates for election as directors of the Company.
- Review leadership structure of the Board.
- Consider matters of corporate governance and review adequacy of our Guidelines on Corporate Governance.
- Administer the Company’s related person transactions policy.
- Annually assess and report to the Board on the performance of the Board of Directors as a whole and of the individual directors.
- Recommend to the Board the members of the Committees of the Board and the director to serve as Lead Independent Director.
- Conduct an annual review of director compensation and recommend to the Board any changes. See “Director Compensation” below.

Independence:

- All members of the Committee are independent directors as defined by the NYSE.

Fiscal 2017 Membership

Donald R. Parfet (*Chair*)
Betty C. Alewine
Steven R. Kalmanson
William T. McCormick, Jr.

Number of Meetings in Fiscal 2017: Four

Compensation Committee

Roles and responsibilities:

- Evaluate the performance of our senior executives including the CEO.
- Make recommendations to the Board with respect to compensation plans.
- Review and approve salaries, incentive compensation, equity awards and other compensation of officers.
- Review the salary plan for the CEO and other executives who directly report to the CEO.
- Review and approve corporate goals and objectives.
- Administer our incentive, deferred compensation and long-term incentives plans in which officers participate.
- Oversee the work of any advisor retained by the Committee.
- Review whether the work of any compensation consultant retained by the Committee raises any conflict of interest.

Independence:

- All members of the Committee are independent directors as defined by the NYSE and are not eligible to participate in any of our compensation plans or programs, except our 2003 Directors Stock Plan and Directors Deferred Compensation Plan.

Role of Executive Officers:

- The Chief Executive Officer and certain other executives assist the Committee with its review of compensation of our officers. See "Executive Compensation — Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Compensation Review Process" below.

Role of Compensation Consultants:

- The Compensation Committee has engaged Willis Towers Watson, an executive consulting firm that is directly accountable to the Compensation Committee, to provide advice on compensation trends and market information to assist the Compensation Committee in fulfilling its duties, including the following responsibilities: review executive compensation and advise of changes to be considered to improve effectiveness consistent with our compensation philosophy; provide market data and recommendations on CEO and other executive compensation; review materials for Committee meetings and attend Committee meetings; and advise the Committee on best practices for governance of executive compensation as well as areas of possible concern or risk in the Company's programs. The Committee reviews the performance of the consultants annually.
- Willis Towers Watson (and its predecessors Towers Watson and Towers Perrin) has served as the Committee's advisor for fourteen years, was directly engaged by and is accountable to the Committee, and has not been engaged by management for other services, except as described below. During fiscal 2017, Willis Towers Watson was paid \$113,000 for executive compensation advice, other services to the Committee, and director compensation advice and other services to the Board Composition and Governance Committee. During fiscal 2017, Willis Towers Watson was also paid \$2,793,000, of which \$2,421,000 or 87% was for core actuarial services and \$372,000 or 13% was for other human resource services to the Company and its benefit plans. The engagements for these other services were recommended by management and approved by the Compensation Committee.

Fiscal 2017 Membership

William T. McCormick, Jr.
(Chair)
J. Phillip Holloman
Lawrence D. Kingsley
Lisa A. Payne

Number of Meetings in

Fiscal 2017: Four, plus four actions taken by written consent

In fiscal 2017, the Committee selected Willis Towers Watson to serve as its independent compensation consultant after assessing the firm's independence, taking into consideration the following factors, among others:

- In January 2016, Towers Watson and Willis merged to form Willis Towers Watson. In January 2010, Towers Perrin merged with Watson Wyatt — the Company's long-time actuary — to create Towers Watson. The Committee's relationship with the compensation consultants at Towers Watson pre-dates the 2010 merger by over six years.
- The Willis Towers Watson consultants to the Committee have worked with the Committee since Towers Perrin was engaged by the Committee in November 2003; their performance and counsel over this period have indicated objectivity and independence.
- The Committee's oversight of the relationship between the Company and Willis Towers Watson mitigates the possibility that management could misuse other engagements to influence Willis Towers Watson's compensation work for the Committee.
- Willis Towers Watson has adopted internal safeguards to ensure that its executive compensation advice is independent and has provided the Committee with a written assessment of the independence of its advisory work to the Committee for fiscal 2017.
- The Committee retains ultimate decision-making authority for all executive pay matters and understands Willis Towers Watson's role is simply that of advisor.
- There are no significant business or personal relationships between Willis Towers Watson and any of our executives or members of the Committee.

Based on this assessment, the Compensation Committee has concluded that it is receiving objective, unbiased and independent advice from Willis Towers Watson and that its work for the Company does not raise any conflict of interest.

The Committee intends to continue to oversee all relationships between the Company and Willis Towers Watson to ensure that the Committee continues to receive unbiased compensation advice from Willis Towers Watson. In addition, the Committee will review and approve the type and scope of all services provided by Willis Towers Watson and the amounts paid by the Company for such services.

Technology and Corporate Responsibility Committee

Roles and responsibilities:

- Review and assess our innovation and technology matters.
- Review and assess our policies and practices regarding corporate responsibility matters, including matters in the following areas: diversity and inclusion; environmental protection and sustainability; product safety; employee health and safety; and community relations, including programs for and contributions to educational, cultural and other social institutions.

Independence:

- All members of the Committee are independent directors as defined by the NYSE.

Fiscal 2017 Membership

Betty C. Alewine (*Chair*)
J. Phillip Holloman
Steven R. Kalmanson
James P. Keane
Thomas W. Rosamilia
Patricia A. Watson

Number of Meetings in Fiscal 2017: Three

Independent Director Sessions

The independent directors meet in executive session without any officer or member of management present in conjunction with regular meetings of the Board. The Lead Independent Director presides over executive sessions. Following each executive session, the Lead Independent Director discusses with each of the Chairman and CEO appropriate matters from these sessions.

Board Processes

Board and Committee Evaluations

The Board and its Committees conduct self-assessments annually at their November meetings (other than the Technology and Corporate Responsibility Committee, which conducts its annual self-assessment in February). The Chair of the Board Composition and Governance Committee oversees the process. The annual evaluation process is summarized below.

Action	Description
PREPARATION	Each director receives materials for the annual evaluation of (i) the Board's performance and contributions of individual directors and (ii) his or her Committees. The materials include the Board and Committee self-assessment process, Committee charters and suggested topics for discussion.
PERFORMANCE REVIEW	Each director is asked to consider a list of questions to assist with the evaluation of the Board, individual directors and Committees, including topics such as Board composition, the conduct and effectiveness of meetings, quality of discussions, roles and responsibilities, quality and quantity of information provided, opportunities for improvement and follow through on recommendations. As part of this process, directors are asked to provide feedback on the performance of other directors.
INTERVIEWS	The Chair of the Board Composition and Governance Committee conducts in-depth confidential interviews with each director to discuss Board, Committee, and individual director performance. In 2017, the Chairman had separate interviews with each director to discuss Lead Independent Director performance.
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW	The Board reviews its Guidelines on Corporate Governance, including the guidelines for determining director independence, and revises as appropriate to promote effective board functioning, and receives reports from the General Counsel on recent governance developments, regulations and best practices. Each Committee reviews its charter and confirms compliance with all charter requirements. In addition, the Board Composition and Governance Committee reviews the Board Membership Criteria.
EVALUATION REPORT	The Chair of the Board Composition and Governance Committee prepares a written report summarizing the annual evaluation of Board performance including findings and recommendations. The report is distributed to the Board for consideration and discussed at the next Board meeting. The Committee chairs report to the Board on their Committee evaluations, noting any actionable items. Past evaluations have addressed a wide range of topics such as strategy, board communications, risk management, acquisitions and succession planning.
ACTIONABLE ITEMS	The Board and Committees address any actionable items throughout the year.

Director Education

Our Board believes in continuous improvement of board effectiveness and functioning as well as individual skills and knowledge. All new directors are required to participate in our director orientation program to familiarize them with the Company's business, strategic plans, significant financial, accounting and risk management issues, ethics and compliance programs, principal officers, and internal and independent auditors.

We also provide directors with regular presentations and memoranda on key business, governance and other important topics intended to assist directors in carrying out their responsibilities. Directors from time to time tour Company facilities and attend our trade shows and investor events. In addition, directors participate in outside continuing education programs to increase their knowledge and understanding of the duties and responsibilities of directors and the Company, regulatory developments and best practices.

Related Person Transactions

The Board adopted a written policy regarding how it will review and approve related person transactions (as defined below). The Board Composition and Governance Committee is responsible for administering this policy. The policy is available on our website at <https://ir.rockwellautomation.com/corporate-governance/governance-documents/default.aspx>.

The policy defines a related person transaction as any transaction in which the Company is or will be a participant, in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and in which any director, director nominee, executive officer or more than 5% shareowner or any of their immediate family members has or will have a direct or indirect material interest. The policy sets forth certain transactions, arrangements and relationships not reportable under SEC rules that do not constitute related person transactions.

Under this policy, each director, director nominee and executive officer must report each proposed or existing transaction between us and that individual or any of that individual's immediate family members to our General Counsel. Our General Counsel will assess and determine whether any transaction reported to her or of which she learns constitutes a related person transaction. If our General Counsel determines that a transaction constitutes a related person transaction, she will refer it to the Board Composition and Governance Committee. The Committee will approve or ratify a related person transaction only if it determines that the transaction is in, or is not inconsistent with, the best interests of the Company and its shareowners. In determining whether to approve or ratify a related person transaction, the Committee will consider factors it deems appropriate, including:

- the fairness to the Company;
- whether the terms of the transaction would be on the same basis if a related person was not involved;
- the business reasons for the Company to participate in the transaction;

- whether the transaction may involve a conflict of interest;
- the nature and extent of the related person's and our interest in the transaction; and
- the amount involved in the transaction.

There are no related person transactions to report in this proxy statement.

Rebecca W. House, the Company's Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, is married to a partner in the law firm of Foley & Lardner LLP (Foley). The Company has used Foley to perform various legal services for many years, significantly predating Ms. House joining the Company in January 2017. Ms. House's spouse does not have a material interest in Foley's relationship with the Company because he is not involved in providing or supervising services that Foley performs for the Company, he does not receive any direct compensation from the fees the Company pays to Foley, and those fees in the last fiscal year were less than one-half of one percent of Foley's annual revenues. Under the Company's related person transactions policy, the Board Composition and Governance Committee reviewed the Company's relationship and transactions with Foley and concluded that they comply with the policy and do not constitute related person transactions. The Committee also approved additional guidelines that require the Company's CFO to review and pre-approve any recommendations to engage Foley for legal services. The Company elected to voluntarily disclose its relationship with Foley in this proxy statement.

Corporate Governance Documents

You will find current copies of the following corporate governance documents on our website at <https://ir.rockwellautomation.com/corporate-governance/governance-documents/default.aspx>:

- Board of Directors Guidelines on Corporate Governance
- Audit Committee Charter
- Compensation Committee Charter
- Board Composition and Governance Committee Charter
- Technology and Corporate Responsibility Committee Charter
- Lead Independent Director Charter
- Code of Conduct
- Related Person Transactions Policy
- Executive Compensation Recoupment Policy
- Shareowner Communications to the Board and Ombudsman
- Certificate of Incorporation
- By-laws

We will provide printed copies of any of these documents to any shareowner upon written request to Rockwell Automation Shareowner Relations, 1201 South Second Street, Milwaukee, WI 53204, USA.

Board of Directors

Introduction

Our certificate of incorporation provides that the Board of Directors will consist of three classes of directors serving staggered three-year terms that are as nearly equal in number as possible. One class of directors is elected each year with terms extending to the third succeeding Annual Meeting after election.

The terms of four directors expire at the 2018 Annual Meeting. The Board has nominated all four of these current directors, upon the recommendation of the Board Composition and Governance Committee, for election as directors with terms expiring at the 2021 Annual Meeting.

Proxies properly submitted will be voted at the meeting, unless authority to do so is withheld, for the election of the four nominees specified in *Nominees for election as directors* below, subject to applicable NYSE regulations. If for any reason any of these nominees

is not a candidate when the election occurs (which is not expected), proxies and shares properly authorized to be voted will be voted at the meeting for the election of a substitute nominee. Alternatively, the Board may decrease the number of directors.

The Board has adopted Guidelines on Corporate Governance that contain general principles regarding the responsibilities and function of our Board and Board Committees. The Guidelines set forth the Board's governance practices with respect to leadership structure, Board meetings and access to senior management, director compensation, director qualifications, Board performance, management development and succession planning, director stock ownership, and enterprise risk management. The Guidelines are available on our website at <https://ir.rockwellautomation.com/corporate-governance/governance-documents/default.aspx>.

Nomination Process

The Board Composition and Governance Committee is responsible for screening potential director candidates and recommending qualified candidates to the full Board.

The Committee will consider director candidates recommended by shareowners. Shareowners can recommend director candidates by writing to the Corporate Secretary at Rockwell Automation, 1201 South Second Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53204, USA. The recommendation must include the candidate's name, biographical data and qualifications and any other information required by the SEC to be included in a proxy statement with respect to a director nominee. Any shareowner recommendation must be accompanied by a written statement from the candidate indicating his or her willingness to serve if nominated and elected. The recommending shareowner also must provide evidence of being a shareowner of record of our common stock at that time.

In addition to recommending director candidates to the Committee, shareowners may nominate candidates for election to the Board directly at the annual shareowner meeting by following the procedures and providing the information, set forth in our by-laws. See *"Shareowner Proposals for 2019 Annual Meeting"* set forth later in this proxy statement. Eligible shareowners may also use our proxy access by-law to nominate candidates for election to our Board provided the shareowners and nominees satisfy specified requirements.

The Committee, the Chairman, the Chief Executive Officer or other members of the Board may identify a need to add new members to the Board or fill a vacancy on the Board. In that case, the Committee will initiate a search for qualified director candidates, seeking input from senior management and Board members, and to the extent it deems it appropriate, outside search firms. The Committee will evaluate qualified candidates and then make its recommendation to the Board.

In making its recommendations to the Board with respect to director candidates, the Committee considers various criteria set forth in our Board Membership Criteria (see Exhibit A to the Committee's Charter), including experience, professional background, specialized expertise, diversity and concern for the best interests of shareowners as a whole. In addition, directors must be of the highest character and integrity, be free of conflicts of interest with the Company, and have sufficient time available to devote to the affairs of the Company. The Committee from time to time reviews with the Board our Board Membership Criteria.

The Committee will evaluate properly submitted shareowner recommendations under substantially the same criteria and in substantially the same manner as other potential candidates.


In July 2017, the Board elected a new independent director to the Board, Patricia A. Watson. The Board Composition and Governance Committee led the search process. Ms. Watson was identified by another Board member.

Director Qualifications


We believe that our directors should possess the highest character and integrity and be committed to working constructively with others to oversee the management of the business and affairs of the Company. Our Board Membership Criteria provide that our directors should (i) have a variety of experience and backgrounds, (ii) have high level managerial experience or be accustomed to dealing with complex problems, and (iii) represent the balanced best interests of all shareowners, considering the overall composition and needs of

the Board and factors such as diversity, age, and specialized expertise in the areas of corporate governance, finance, industry, international operations, technology and risk management. The Criteria attach importance to directors' experience, ability to collaborate, integrity, ability to provide constructive and direct feedback, lack of bias, and independence. Our Board seeks to maintain members with strong collective abilities that allow it to fulfill its responsibilities.


Independence




Current and Former CEOs



Board Tenure



Age



Capabilities and Experience

Our Board is carefully composed to include directors with a diverse range of skills, experience, perspective and expertise, which empowers it to provide sound guidance relevant to the Company's scope, strategy, operations, and growth and profitability objectives.

Leadership

Each of our directors has significant experience in leadership roles in large companies, with 50 percent holding or having held CEO positions. Generally people with strong leadership skills provide unique insights and are familiar with complex business strategy and operations and leadership development. We believe this type of leadership experience is valuable to the Board.

International

Our global presence is important to our competitive advantage. Many of our directors have significant international business experience, which provides them with a deep understanding of our position in global markets and regional and local challenges.

Finance

As a public company operating in over 80 countries, we are subject to broad financial regulations and reporting. To address the needs of the Company, all of our directors have a high level of financial literacy, an understanding of complex global financial transactions, and four of our Audit Committee members are audit committee financial experts as defined by the SEC. All of our directors and nominees have relevant experience in accounting and financial reporting, corporate finance and audit committee functions.

Industry

We seek directors who have an understanding of the industries we serve. Several of our directors have experience with technology and manufacturing companies, including automation, consumer products, energy, industrial products, semiconductors, software and pharmaceuticals. This type of experience is important to the Board's oversight of the Company's strategic plan and business operations.

Risk

In the ordinary course of our business, we face various strategic, operating, compliance and financial risks. We believe that an understanding of these risks is important for directors to provide oversight of enterprise risk management and risk mitigation. All of our directors and nominees have extensive and broad experience in risk oversight.

Technology

Our Company is committed to enabling the next generation of smart manufacturing and The Connected Enterprise. As a Company focused on technology innovation, we seek directors with technology and engineering backgrounds. Several of our directors have extensive technology experience, cyber security experience and degrees in engineering.

Diversity

The Board does not have a formal policy with respect to diversity, but recognizes the value of a diverse Board and thus has included diversity as a factor that is taken into consideration in its Board Membership Criteria.

When it considers the composition of the Board, especially when adding new directors, the Board Composition and Governance Committee assesses the skills and experience of Board members and compares them to the skills that might benefit the Company in light of the current Board composition. The Committee seeks people with a variety of occupational and personal backgrounds to ensure that the Board benefits from a range of perspectives and to enhance the diversity of the Board in such areas as experience, geography, race, gender and ethnicity. When selecting director candidates, the Committee may establish specific skills, experiences or backgrounds that it believes the Board should seek in order to achieve balance and effectiveness.

The Board believes that it is important that its members reflect diverse viewpoints so that, as a group, the Board includes a sufficient mix of perspectives to allow the Board best to fulfill its responsibilities to shareowners.

Board Refreshment and Tenure

A continuing priority of the Board is ensuring the Board is composed of directors who bring diverse perspective and viewpoints and have a variety of skills, experiences and backgrounds to enable the Board to effectively represent the long-term interests of shareowners.

The Board is mindful that director tenure can be relevant to the Board's performance. The Board believes that this is a matter that should be discussed and evaluated by the Board from time to time and it depends on the Board's current situation and the needs of the Company.

Our Board believes that it contains an ideal balance of newer and longer-tenured directors, so we get the benefit of both fresh perspectives and extensive experience. Three current directors have served for more than ten years, while six directors were added to the Board in the past five years. The Board believes its current tenure mix is appropriate for the Board at this time and recognizes the merits of a board with balanced tenure. Our directors with longer service are highly valued for their experience and Company-specific knowledge. They have a deep understanding of our business, provide historical context in Board considerations of Company strategy, and enhance Board dynamics and the Board's relationship with management.

The Board regularly reviews director succession and the mix of Board composition, diversity and experience, especially when adding a new member. As part of this process, the Board evaluates the contributions and tenure of current Board members and compares them to the skills that might benefit the Company in light of emerging needs. The Board seeks people with a variety of occupational and personal backgrounds to ensure that the Board benefits from a range of perspectives and to enhance the diversity of the Board. The Board also conducts annual self-assessments and director evaluations. The Board believes it is in the best position to determine the appropriate length of service for a director and overall board tenure, with its current mix providing for a highly effective and functioning Board.

Shareowner Alignment

Our Board believes its interests are aligned with shareowners both economically and in carrying out its responsibilities to the Company and its shareowners.

Our director compensation program is designed to align director compensation directly with the interests of shareowners by paying a meaningful portion of director compensation in shares of our common stock. To further align their interests, directors can defer cash fees to restricted stock units that are paid out in shares. In addition, directors are subject to stock ownership requirements. They are required to own shares of our common stock equal in value to five times the portion of the annual retainer payable in cash (with the cash retainer for fiscal 2017 at \$87,500). All current directors exceed their ownership guidelines except Mr. Rosamilia, who joined the Board in 2016, and Ms. Watson, who joined the Board in 2017, and they are on track to meet the requirements within the five-year transition period contained in our stock ownership guidelines. None of our directors receive compensation for their Board service from any source other than the Company.

We seek to maintain a Board with experienced leaders who are familiar with governance issues and compliance with the laws and regulations applicable to our business. Our Board monitors shareowner views and considers shareowner feedback and perspectives in establishing and evaluating Company policies and practices.

Director Independence

Our Guidelines on Corporate Governance require that a substantial majority of the members of the Board be independent directors. For a director to be independent, the Board must affirmatively determine that the director has no direct or indirect material relationship with the Company. The Board has established guidelines, which are contained in our Guidelines on Corporate Governance, to assist it in determining director independence in conformity with the NYSE listing requirements. These guidelines are available on our website at <https://ir.rockwellautomation.com/corporate-governance/governance-documents/default.aspx>.

After considering these guidelines and the independence criteria of the NYSE, the Board has determined that none of the current directors, other than Mr. Nosbusch (who is a former employee of the Company) and Mr. Moret (who is a current employee of the Company), have a material relationship with the Company and each of these directors (other than Mr. Nosbusch and Mr. Moret) is independent. There were no transactions, relationships or arrangements that required review by the Board for purposes of determining director independence in fiscal 2017.

Summary

We have provided certain information about the capabilities, experience and other qualifications of our directors in their biographies and as set forth above. The Board considered these qualifications in particular in concluding that each current director is qualified to serve as a director of the Company. In addition, the Board has determined that each director is financially literate and possesses the skills, judgment, experience, reputation and commitment to make a constructive contribution to the Board.

Director Nominees and Continuing Directors

For each director nominee and continuing director, we have stated the person's name, age (as of December 1, 2017) and principal occupation; the position, if any, with the Company; the period of service as a director of the Company (or a predecessor corporation); and other directorships held.

Nominees for Election as Directors with Terms Expiring in 2021



Betty C. Alewine

Director Since: 2000

Age: 69

Committees: Board Composition & Governance and Technology & Corporate Responsibility (Chair)

Independent

Key Qualifications: Leadership, International, Finance, Risk

Retired President and Chief Executive Officer, COMSAT Corporation (now part of Lockheed Martin Corporation) (global satellite services and digital networking services and technology)

Experience and Qualifications:

Ms. Alewine was named Chief Executive Officer of COMSAT in July 1996 and served in that position until the merger of COMSAT and Lockheed Martin Corporation in 2000. Ms. Alewine joined COMSAT in 1986 as Vice President of Sales and Marketing, and then served as the Vice President and General Manager and in 1994 as President of COMSAT International, the company's largest operating unit. Ms. Alewine is a director of New York Life Insurance Company and former director of The Brink's Company. She also serves as a director or member of a number of civic and charitable organizations.

Ms. Alewine has significant leadership experience having served as the CEO of COMSAT Corporation and executive-level experience with international business operations, strategic business development, technology, sales and marketing and regulatory matters. She contributes valuable experience and knowledge in the areas of finance, risk oversight, audit and corporate governance matters through her service on the boards of other companies. She serves on the Governance (Chair) and Compensation Committees of New York Life Insurance Company and previously served on the Audit (Chair) and Corporate Governance & Nominating Committees of The Brink's Company. She also has global industrial knowledge having served as the United States representative to the Board of Governors of the International Telecommunications Satellite Organization (INTELSAT) and Chairman and Vice Chairman of the INTELSAT Board, as well as on the President's National Security Telecommunications Advisory Council. Ms. Alewine received an Honorary Doctorate of Engineering from Stevens Institute of Technology for her contributions to the field of satellite communications technology.


J. Phillip Holloman
Director Since: 2013

Age: 62

Committees: Compensation and Technology & Corporate Responsibility


Independent
Key Qualifications: Leadership, Industry, Risk, Technology

President and Chief Operating Officer, Cintas Corporation (corporate identity uniforms and related business services)

Experience and Qualifications:

Mr. Holloman has been President and Chief Operating Officer of Cintas Corporation since 2008. He joined Cintas in 1996 and has served in various positions including Vice President – Engineering/Construction from 1996 to 2000, Vice President – Distribution/Production Planning from 2000 to 2003, Executive Champion of Six Sigma Initiatives from 2003 to 2005, Senior Vice President – Global Supply Chain Management from 2005 until 2008. Mr. Holloman serves as a director or member of several educational and civic organizations.

As President and Chief Operating Officer of Cintas, Mr. Holloman brings significant leadership and operational experience to our Board. He has extensive knowledge and experience in the areas of process improvement, operations and management. During his tenure at Cintas, he has led teams that built 37 new Cintas rental processing facilities and standardized the utilization of automated processing equipment systems. He also implemented a process that reduced the time it took to achieve target operating efficiency by 75 percent. In the area of distribution and production planning, he and his team, using Six Sigma methodologies, improved profit, service levels and internal customer satisfaction while reducing inventory levels. He also participates in developing the compensation and benefits strategy for the organization. Mr. Holloman's current leadership and operational experience give him a comprehensive understanding of processes, strategy, risk management and how to drive change and growth. Mr. Holloman received his Bachelor's degree, Engineering, from the University of Cincinnati.


Lawrence D. Kingsley
Director Since: 2013

Age: 54

Committees: Audit and Compensation

Independent
Key Qualifications: Leadership, International, Finance, Industry

Former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Pall Corporation (filtration, separation and purification solutions for fluid management); Advisory Director, Berkshire Partners LLC (investment firm)

Experience and Qualifications:

Mr. Kingsley was named Chairman of Pall Corporation in 2013 and Chief Executive Officer in 2011 and served in those positions until Danaher Corporation acquired Pall in August 2015. From 2005 to 2011, he served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of IDEX Corporation, a company specializing in the development, design and manufacture of fluid and metering technologies and health and science technologies products. Mr. Kingsley remained Chairman of IDEX until the end of 2011. Before joining IDEX, he held management positions of increasing responsibility with Danaher Corporation, Kollmorgen Corporation and Weidmuller Incorporated. Mr. Kingsley serves as a director of Polaris Industries and IDEXX Laboratories, Inc. Since May 2016, Mr. Kingsley has been an Advisory Director to Berkshire Partners. From 2007 until 2012, Mr. Kingsley served as a director of Cooper Industries plc, an industrial electrical components company.

As former Chairman and CEO of Pall, a global public company, Mr. Kingsley brings strong executive leadership and business management skills to our Board. He offers in-depth knowledge and experience in strategic planning, corporate development and operations analysis. Mr. Kingsley has extensive global experience having lived in Europe and operated several international businesses. He has insights into the multitude of issues facing public companies and corporate governance practices through his diverse public company board experience. He currently serves on the Compensation and Finance Committees of IDEXX and Audit and Technology Committees of Polaris. He also brings significant financial expertise to the Board including all aspects of financial reporting, corporate finance, executive compensation and capital markets. Mr. Kingsley received a B.S., Industrial Engineering from Clarkson University and an M.B.A. from the College of William and Mary.


Lisa A. Payne
Director Since: 2015

Age: 59

Committees: Audit and Compensation

Independent
Key Qualifications: Leadership, Finance, Risk, Technology

Former Chairman of the Board, Soave Enterprises LLC (diversified management and investment) and President, Soave Real Estate Group (property management)


Experience and Qualifications:

Ms. Payne served as Chairman of the Board of Soave Enterprises LLC and President of Soave Real Estate Group through March 2017. Previously she served as Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of Taubman Centers, Inc. from 2005 to 2016. She joined Taubman in 1997, serving as the Executive Vice President and the Chief Financial and Administrative Officer of Taubman from 1997 to 2005. Before joining Taubman, she was an investment banker with Goldman Sachs & Co. from 1987 to 1997. Ms. Payne served as a director of Taubman from 1997 until March 2016. She is a director of Masco Corporation, where she serves on the Audit (Chair) and Organization & Compensation Committees, and J.C. Penney, Inc., where she serves on the Audit and Finance & Planning Committees. She is a former trustee of Munder Series Trust and Munder Series Trust II, open-end management investment companies. She also serves as a director or trustee of several educational and charitable organizations.

Ms. Payne brings strong leadership, operational and finance experience to our Board. During her tenure at Taubman, she led the Company through key operational and strategic initiatives. Her executive experience and leadership roles give her critical insights into company operations, strategy, competition and information technology that assists our Board in its oversight function. Her past experience as a CFO and investment banker provide the Board with financial, accounting and corporate finance expertise. She has a high level of financial literacy and accounting experience that provides the Board with expertise in understanding and overseeing financial reporting and internal controls. In addition, her board and board committee experience at Taubman, Masco and J.C. Penney give her significant insight as to governance, risk management and compliance-related matters of public companies. Ms. Payne holds an M.B.A. from the Fuqua School of Business Administration, Duke University.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote "FOR" the election as directors of the four nominees described above.

Continuing Directors with Terms Expiring in 2019



William T. McCormick, Jr.

Director Since: 1989

Age: 73

Committees: Board Composition & Governance and Compensation (Chair)

Independent

Key Qualifications: Leadership, Industry, Risk, Technology

Retired Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, CMS Energy Corporation (diversified energy)

Experience and Qualifications:

Mr. McCormick served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of CMS Energy Corporation from November 1985 until May 2002. Before joining CMS, he had been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of American Natural Resources Company (natural gas company) and Executive Vice President and a director of its parent corporation, The Coastal Corporation (energy holding company).

Mr. McCormick brings significant leadership and executive experience to the Board having served as Chairman and CEO of CMS Energy Corporation, a publicly-traded Fortune 500 company, for 17 years. CMS was involved in large energy technology development projects in oil and gas, pipeline, power generation, and electric and gas distribution. As Chairman and CEO, he was regularly exposed to issues facing leadership of a large global company, including risk management, strategic planning, corporate governance, human resources and executive compensation. He previously chaired the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee at Schlumberger Ltd. He also chaired the Risk Management Committee of the Board of First Chicago NBD Bank for two years. He holds a Ph.D. in nuclear engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.



Blake D. Moret

Director Since: 2016

Age: 55

Committees: None

Key Qualifications: Leadership, International, Industry, Technology

President and Chief Executive Officer
Chairman-Elect

Experience and Qualifications:

Mr. Moret has been our President and Chief Executive Officer since July 2016. He served as Senior Vice President, Control Products and Solutions from April 2011 until July 2016. Mr. Moret serves as a director or member of a number of business, civic and community organizations.

The Board selected Mr. Moret to lead our Company as CEO and serve on the Board because he is an exceptionally well-qualified leader with a proven track record of success. He has 32 years of broad experience with the Company including leadership roles in marketing, solutions, services and product groups. He began his career with the Company in 1985, serving in senior positions across the organization, including international assignments in Europe and Canada, most recently as the leader of one of our two business segments. He has a deep understanding of the Company's values, culture, people, technology and customers. He understands how to drive change and growth in a changing global economy. Mr. Moret brings valuable insights to the Board regarding our operations, technology, culture, industry trends, competitive positioning and strategic direction. Mr. Moret received his bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from the Georgia Institute of Technology.


Keith D. Nosbusch
Director Since: 2004

Age: 66

Committees: None

Key Qualifications: Leadership, International, Industry, Technology
Chairman of the Board

Experience and Qualifications:

Mr. Nosbusch has been our Chairman of the Board since February 2005. He served as our President and Chief Executive Officer from February 2004 until July 2016. He served as Senior Vice President and President, Rockwell Automation Control Systems from November 1998 until February 2004. Mr. Nosbusch is a former director of The Manitowoc Company, Inc. and has served as a director or member of a number of business, civic and community organizations.

As our Chairman and former CEO, Mr. Nosbusch has significant experience with and knowledge of the Company. He rose through management having served in various positions including president of our Control Systems business. His long experience and extensive knowledge of the Company's operations, its customers, and the major business issues that it faces enhances overall board effectiveness and interaction with management. He also served on the board of another public company, where he gained experience with corporate governance, audit and risk oversight and overall board procedures and functioning. Mr. Nosbusch earned a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical and computer engineering from the University of Wisconsin-Madison and an M.B.A. from the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee.


Thomas W. Rosamilia
Director Since: 2016

Age: 56

Committees: Audit and Technology & Corporate Responsibility

Independent
Key Qualifications: Leadership, International, Industry, Technology
Senior Vice President, IBM Systems (technology)

Experience and Qualifications:

Mr. Rosamilia has served as Senior Vice President of IBM Systems since 2013. In this role, he has global responsibility for IBM server and storage systems and software as well as IBM's Global Business Partners organization. He joined IBM in 1983 as a software developer and has held a series of leadership positions, including General Manager of IBM's WebSphere software division, General Manager of IBM Systems and Technology Group, Vice President of IBM Corporate Strategy and most recently as Senior Vice President of IBM Systems and Technology Group and IBM Integrated Supply Chain. In November 2015, he was appointed as Economic Advisor to the Governor of Guangdong Province of the People's Republic of China.

Mr. Rosamilia brings a high level of technological, strategic and international experience to the Board. Through his leadership experience at IBM, he has a deep understanding of technology development, operations, security and strategy. He led IBM's semiconductor, servers, storage, and the system software business; all of IBM's supply chain; and the company's Global Business Partners organization. During that time, he oversaw the transformation of IBM's Systems & Technology Group business to better address clients' higher-value, data-driven IT requirements, which included making major investments in strategic businesses and initiatives while exiting businesses that were not aligned with client demands. In 2013, Mr. Rosamilia helped to lead the creation of the OpenPOWER Foundation, a collaboration around open server product design and development. Mr. Rosamilia has also overseen the divestiture of IBM's global semiconductor manufacturing business and the divestiture of IBM's x86 server business. As General Manager of IBM Systems & Technology Group's System z and Power Systems, he was responsible for all facets of both businesses, including strategy, marketing, sales, operations, technology development and overall financial performance. Mr. Rosamilia is currently based in Beijing, China where he continues to lead IBM Systems and acts as a local representative of IBM Corporate Headquarters. Mr. Rosamilia has served on the boards of several charitable and business organizations. Mr. Rosamilia received his bachelor's degree from Cornell University, with majors in computer science and economics. He also completed the IBM Strategic Leadership Forum at Harvard Business School.



Patricia A. Watson

Director Since: 2017

Age: 51

Committees: Audit and Technology & Corporate Responsibility

Independent

Key Qualifications: Leadership, Technology, Finance, Risk
Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer, Total System Services, Inc. (leading global payments provider)

Experience and Qualifications:

Ms. Watson serves as the Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer of Total System Services (TSYS). Before joining TSYS, she served as Vice President and Global Chief Information Officer for The Brink's Company. Previously Ms. Watson worked with Bank of America for more than 14 years in technology positions of increasing responsibility, and spent 10 years in the United States Air Force as executive staff officer, flight commander and director of operations. She is a director of Texas Capital Bancshares where she serves on the Audit and Risk Committee.

Ms. Watson brings extensive technology and executive experience to the Board. As Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer of TSYS, Ms. Watson has strong strategic leadership, business and technical skills. She is responsible for setting the company's enterprise technology strategy to enable future global growth. Her background and expertise in information technology and cyber security give her critical insights into new technologies, business models, risk identification and management, and talent and strategy. She has valuable experience and knowledge in the areas of audit and control and compliance. She also brings the benefits of service on the board of Texas Capital Bancshares. Ms. Watson holds a bachelor's degree in mathematics from St. Mary's College at Notre Dame and an M.B.A. from the University of Dayton.

Continuing Directors with Terms Expiring in 2020



Steven R. Kalmanson

Director Since: 2011

Age: 65

Committees: Board Composition & Governance and Technology & Corporate Responsibility

Independent

Key Qualifications: Leadership, International, Industry, Risk
Retired Executive Vice President, Kimberly-Clark Corporation (consumer package goods)

Experience and Qualifications:

Mr. Kalmanson joined Kimberly-Clark Corporation in 1977 and held various marketing and business management positions within the consumer products businesses. He was appointed President, Adult Care in 1990, President, Child Care in 1992, President, Family Care in 1994, Group President of the Consumer Tissue segment in 1996, Group President-North Atlantic Personal Care in 2004 and Group President-North Atlantic Consumer Products in 2005. Mr. Kalmanson was president and sole owner of Maxair, Inc., an aviation services company, from 1988 to 2011.

Mr. Kalmanson brings extensive business and executive management experience to the Board having served in various officer positions for Kimberly-Clark, a global public company. Throughout his career, he successfully initiated and managed change to assist in the transformation of Kimberly-Clark from a pulp and paper company to a globally-recognized consumer package goods conglomerate marketing some of the most recognized brands in the world. In addition to his U.S. experience, he has international management experience through his responsibilities for Kimberly-Clark's European and Canadian businesses and sales organizations, global procurement and supply chain organizations and marketing research and services organizations. He successfully innovated, restaged and grew Kimberly-Clark's global consumer brands and businesses. He has experience leading mergers and acquisitions, organizational restructurings and facility closures and divestitures. In addition, he owned and operated his own aviation services business from 1988 until 2011, which gives him insights into economic, operational, regulatory and other challenges faced by the Company. Mr. Kalmanson holds an M.B.A. from the University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.


James P. Keane
Director Since: 2011

Age: 58

Committees: Audit (Chair) and Technology & Corporate Responsibility

Independent
Key Qualifications: Leadership, International, Finance, Risk
 President and Chief Executive Officer, Steelcase Inc. (office furniture)

Experience and Qualifications:

Mr. Keane has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Steelcase Inc. since March 2014. He has held several leadership roles since joining Steelcase in 1997. He served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Steelcase from 2001 through 2006. He was named President of the Steelcase Group in October 2006, where he had responsibility for the sales, marketing and product development activities of certain brands primarily in North America. In January 2011, he assumed leadership of the Steelcase brand across the Americas and Europe, the Middle East and Africa. From November 2012 to April 2013, he served as Chief Operating Officer, responsible for the design, engineering and development, manufacturing, sales and distribution of all brands in all countries where Steelcase does business. From April 2013 to March 2014, Mr. Keane served as President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Keane has served as a director of Steelcase since April 2013. He also serves as a director or trustee of a number of civic and charitable organizations.

As President, Chief Executive Officer and a board member of a global public company, Mr. Keane brings current business experience and knowledge to the Board. Through his executive roles at Steelcase, he has extensive leadership experience and a comprehensive understanding of business operations, processes and strategy as well as risk management, sales, marketing and product development. In addition, he has a high level of financial literacy and accounting experience having served as CFO of Steelcase. His understanding of financial statements, accounting principles, internal controls and audit committee functions provides the Board with expertise in addressing the complex issues that can be raised by the Company's financial reporting and matters related to the Company's financial position. Mr. Keane holds a master's degree in management from the Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University.


Donald R. Parfet
Director Since: 2008

Age: 65

Lead Independent Director
Committees: Board Composition & Governance (Chair) and Audit

Independent
Key Qualifications: Leadership, Finance, Industry, Risk
 Managing Director, Apjohn Group, LLC (business development); General Partner, Apjohn Ventures Fund (venture capital fund)

Experience and Qualifications:

Mr. Parfet has served as Managing Director of Apjohn Group since 2001. Before that, he served as Senior Vice President of Pharmacia Corporation (pharmaceuticals). Mr. Parfet is a director of Sierra Oncology, Inc., Kelly Services, Inc. and Masco Corporation and serves as a director or trustee of a number of business, civic and charitable organizations.

Mr. Parfet brings extensive finance and industry experience to the Board. He has served as General Partner of Apjohn Ventures Fund, a venture capital fund, since 2003. In this role, he is an active investor in early stage pharmaceutical companies, which requires evaluating financial and development risk associated with emerging medicines. During his years at The Upjohn Company and its successor Pharmacia & Upjohn, he had extensive financial and corporate staff management responsibilities and ultimately senior operational responsibilities for multiple global business units. He is experienced in leading strategic planning, risk assessment, human resource planning and financial planning and control as well as the manufacturing of pharmaceuticals, chemicals and research instruments. Mr. Parfet has board oversight and corporate governance experience from his current service as Lead Director of Kelly Services, Inc. and as a member of its Audit, Compensation and Governance & Nominating Committees. He is also a director of Masco Corporation, where he serves on its Audit and Organization and Compensation (Chair) Committees, and Sierra Oncology, Inc., where he serves as Chairman of the Board and on its Compensation (Chair) and Nominating & Governance Committees. Mr. Parfet holds an M.B.A. from the University of Michigan.

Director Compensation

Our director compensation program is designed to attract and retain qualified directors, fairly compensate directors for the time they must spend in fulfilling their duties and align their compensation directly with the interests of shareowners. The Board Composition and Governance Committee determines the form and amount of director compensation, with discussion and approval by the full Board. The Committee relies on Willis Towers Watson to provide advice on director compensation trends. The Committee benchmarks its director compensation on an annual basis relative to proxy data available for companies of similar size and scope. The market data analysis is a significant factor in our compensation determinations. As shown by the use of equity within the director compensation program, the Board believes that a meaningful portion of director compensation should be in our common stock to further align the economic interests of directors and shareowners.

Employees who serve as directors do not receive any compensation for their director service. Mr. Nosbusch was Chairman of the Board for fiscal 2017. His annual salary was reduced to \$400,000 for his continued service as an employee after stepping down as the Company's President and CEO effective July 1, 2016. He retired as an employee on February 10, 2017 and his compensation as non-employee Chairman of the Board continued at an annual rate of \$400,000, paid in cash. Effective January 1, 2018, Mr. Moret will succeed Mr. Nosbusch as Chairman. Mr. Nosbusch will remain a director and transition to standard director compensation at that time.

Annual Director Compensation

There are three elements of our director compensation program: an annual retainer, equity awards and committee fees. The following table describes each element of director compensation for fiscal 2017.

	Annual Retainer		Equity Awards	Committee Fees	Lead Director Fee
	Cash	Common Stock	Common Stock	Cash	Cash
Amount	\$87,500	\$87,500	\$40,000 (not to exceed 1,000 shares)	Varies by Committee	\$25,000
Timing of Payment/ Award	Paid in equal installments on 1 st business day of each quarter	Granted on 1 st business day of fiscal year (or pro-rata amount upon initial election to the Board)	Granted on date of Annual Shareowners Meeting (or pro-rata amount upon initial election to the Board)	Paid in equal installments on 1 st business day of each quarter	Paid in equal installments on 1 st business day of each quarter
Deferral Election Available	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dividend/Dividend Equivalent Eligible	Not Applicable	Yes	Yes	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Annual Retainer. Directors receive an annual retainer that consists of cash and shares of our common stock. The total annual retainer for fiscal 2017, excluding Committee fees, was \$175,000, of which \$87,500 was paid in cash and \$87,500 in shares of common stock under the 2003 Directors Stock Plan (with prorated amounts for directors elected after October 1). The \$87,500 equated to 726 shares granted on October 3, 2016 based on the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on that date of \$120.57. A prorated amount of \$21,875, which equated to 135 shares, was granted to a new director, Ms. Watson, elected on July 1, 2017 based on the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on July 3, 2017 of \$162.84.

Equity Awards. Directors receive an annual grant of \$40,000 paid in shares of our common stock, not to exceed 1,000 shares, under the 2003 Directors Stock Plan immediately after our Annual Meeting of Shareowners (and for directors elected after the Annual Meeting, a prorated number of shares are awarded upon election). The \$40,000 equated to 268 shares granted on February 7, 2017 based on the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on that date of \$149.41. Ms. Watson, who was not a director at the time, received a prorated annual grant of \$30,000 equal to 185 shares upon her initial election to the Board on July 3, 2017, based on the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on that date of \$162.84.

Committee Fees. Directors receive additional annual compensation for serving on Committees of the Board. The fees for the Chair and for serving on certain Committees are higher than others due to the greater workload and responsibilities.

During fiscal 2017, annual Committee fees were as follows:

	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Board Composition and Governance Committee	Technology and Corporate Responsibility Committee
Chair	\$25,000	\$20,000	\$15,000	\$15,000
Member	\$12,500	\$8,000	\$6,000	\$5,000

Lead Independent Director. The Lead Independent Director receives an annual cash retainer of \$25,000.

Chairman of the Board. As discussed above, in fiscal 2017 the Chairman received an annual cash retainer of \$400,000. This independent role will cease effective January 1, 2018 when Mr. Moret will succeed Mr. Nosbusch as Chairman and will have a combined CEO and Chairman role.

Deferral Election. Under the terms of our Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, directors may elect to defer all or part of the cash payment of Board retainer or Committee fees until such time as the director specifies, with interest on deferred amounts accruing quarterly at 120% of the federal long-term rate set each month by the Secretary of the Treasury. In addition, under the 2003 Directors Stock Plan, each director has the opportunity each year to defer all or any portion of the annual grant of common stock, cash retainer, common stock retainer and Committee fees by electing to instead receive restricted stock units valued, in the case of cash deferrals, at the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on the date each payment would otherwise be made in cash.

Director Stock Ownership Requirement

Non-management directors are subject to stock ownership requirements. To further align directors' and shareowners' economic interests, our Guidelines on Corporate Governance provide that non-management directors are required to own, within five years after joining the Board, shares of our common stock (including restricted stock units) equal in value to five times the portion of the

Other Benefits. We reimburse directors for transportation, lodging and other expenses actually incurred in attending Board and Committee meetings. We also reimburse directors for similar travel, lodging and other expenses for their spouses to accompany them to a limited number of Board meetings held as retreats to which we invite spouses for business purposes. Spouses were invited to one Board meeting in fiscal 2017. The directors' spouses are generally expected to attend Board meetings held as retreats. From time to time and when available, directors and their spouses are permitted to use our corporate aircraft for travel to Board meetings.

Directors are eligible to participate in a matching gift program under which we match donations made to eligible educational, arts or cultural institutions. Gifts are matched up to an annual calendar year maximum of \$10,000. This same program is available to all of our U.S. salaried employees.

annual retainer that is payable in cash. All directors, except Ms. Watson and Mr. Rosamilia, met the requirements as of September 30, 2017. Ms. Watson, who joined the Board in July 2017, and Mr. Rosamilia, who joined the Board in April 2016, are on track to meet the ownership requirements within the five-year transition period.

Changes to Director Compensation for Fiscal 2018

Effective October 1, 2017, we changed our director compensation to remain competitive with market levels. The total annual retainer, excluding Committee fees, was changed to \$185,000, of which \$92,500 will be paid in cash and \$92,500 in shares of common stock under the 2003 Directors Stock Plan. The annual retainer was increased by

\$10,000 to bring Board fees closer to the market median based on a review of companies with revenues of \$4 to \$8 billion.

Effective January 1, 2018, Mr. Moret will succeed Mr. Nosbusch as Chairman. Mr. Nosbusch will remain a director and transition to standard non-employee director compensation at that time.

Director Compensation Table

The following table shows the total compensation earned by each of our non-employee directors during fiscal 2017.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾ (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ⁽³⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ (\$)	TOTAL (\$)
Betty C. Alewine	108,500	127,500	0	0	0	236,000
J. Phillip Holloman	100,500	127,500	0	0	19,244	247,244
Steven R. Kalmanson	98,500	127,500	0	0	0	226,000
James P. Keane	117,500	127,500	0	0	9,250	254,250
Lawrence D. Kingsley	108,000	127,500	0	0	6,746	242,246
William T. McCormick, Jr.	113,500	127,500	0	0	10,000	251,000
Keith D. Nosbusch ⁽⁵⁾	253,846	0	0	0	165,411	419,257
Donald R. Parfet	140,000	127,500	0	0	16,572	284,072
Lisa A. Payne	108,000	127,500	0	0	10,000	245,500
Thomas W. Rosamilia	105,000	127,500	0	0	0	232,500
Patricia A. Watson ⁽⁶⁾	26,250	51,875	0	0	2,000	80,125

⁽¹⁾ This column represents the amount of cash compensation earned in fiscal 2017 for Board and Committee service (whether or not deferred and whether or not the directors elected to receive restricted stock units in lieu of cash fees). Includes lead independent director fees for Mr. Parfet and chairman fees for Mr. Nosbusch.

⁽²⁾ Values in this column represent the grant date fair value of stock awards computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP). On October 3, 2016, each director, except Ms. Watson, received 726 shares with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$87,500 in payment of the share portion of the annual retainer. On February 7, 2017 (the date of our Annual Meeting), each director, except for Ms. Watson, received 268 shares of common stock under the 2003 Directors Stock Plan with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$40,000. On July 3, 2017, upon her initial election to the Board, Ms. Watson received a pro-rated award for the share portion of the annual retainer and stock award under the 2003 Directors Stock Plan consisting of a total of 320 shares of common stock with a grant date fair value of \$51,875. The amounts shown do not correspond to the actual value that may be realized by the directors. Directors may elect to defer the annual share awards by electing instead to receive restricted stock units in the same number.

⁽³⁾ Aggregate earnings in fiscal 2017 on the directors' deferred cash compensation balances were \$20,725 for Ms. Alewine and \$6,156 for Mr. Kingsley. We do not pay "above market" interest on non-qualified deferred compensation; therefore, this column does not include these amounts.

⁽⁴⁾ This column consists of cash dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units for Messrs. Holloman, Kingsley and Parfet, and, for Messrs. Keane, McCormick, and Parfet and Ms. Payne and Watson, the Company's matching donations under the Company's matching gift program of \$9,250, \$10,000, \$10,000, \$10,000, and \$2,000, respectively. This column does not include the perquisites and personal benefits provided to each director because the aggregate amount provided to each director was less than \$10,000. During fiscal 2017, one Board meeting was held as a retreat at which we provided leisure activities for the directors and their spouses. The directors' spouses generally are expected to attend Board retreats.

⁽⁵⁾ Mr. Nosbusch was Chairman of the Board for fiscal 2017. His annual salary was \$400,000 for his continued service as an employee after stepping down as the Company's President and CEO effective July 1, 2016. Mr. Nosbusch retired as an employee on February 10, 2017 and his compensation while an employee of \$146,154 is included in All Other Compensation and as non-employee director of \$253,846 is included in Fees Earned or Paid in Cash. Also included in All Other Compensation is \$10,000 for matching donations under the Company's matching gift program and \$9,257 for cash dividends paid on restricted shares held while an employee.

⁽⁶⁾ Ms. Watson was elected as a director effective on July 1, 2017.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis prepared by management and contained in this proxy statement. Based on this review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

Compensation Committee

William T. McCormick, Jr., Chair

J. Phillip Holloman

Lawrence D. Kingsley

Lisa A. Payne

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary


Overview

Rockwell Automation has a long-standing and strong orientation toward pay for performance in its executive compensation program. We maintain this orientation throughout economic cycles that may cause fluctuation in our operating results. We are pleased to report that fiscal 2017 was a very good year with 14% EPS growth on 7.3% higher sales compared to fiscal 2016 and another strong year of free cash flow generation and return on invested capital (ROIC) performance. Our fiscal 2017 total shareholder return (TSR) was 51.3% and at the 94th percentile of the companies in the S&P 500 Index.

The compensation decisions made for fiscal 2017 reflect our Company's strong business performance relative to the goals set out for the year. We are performance-oriented and set stretch financial goals, balancing rewards with appropriate risk. In light of our pay-for-performance philosophy and based on our sales and Adjusted EPS performance, the fiscal 2017 Annual Incentive Compensation Plan (ICP) payouts averaged above target (average payout of 134%) for named executive officers (NEOs).

For the performance period from October 1, 2014 to September 30, 2017, our three year TSR of 60.3% was at the 73rd percentile of the companies in the S&P 500 Index, resulting in 187% of the target number of performance shares being earned for that performance period. We believe all of the decisions described in this proxy statement reflect this orientation toward pay for performance and our ongoing commitment to this philosophy.

Our executive compensation programs include:



Objectives	Philosophy	Results Focus
<p>Our executive compensation programs are designed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Balance rewards with appropriate risk • Create shareowner value • Attract and retain executive talent 	<p>Our executive compensation philosophy is built on the following principles:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Align compensation with the Company's strategy • Motivate superior long-term performance • Balance rewards with appropriate risk-taking and the creation of shareowner value • Pay for performance by establishing goals tied to the Company's results • Provide market-competitive pay • Recognize that the quality of our leadership has a direct impact on our performance 	<p>Our performance measures are aligned with shareowner interests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total Shareowner Return (TSR) • Sales • Adjusted Earnings per Share (Adjusted EPS) • Return on Invested Capital (ROIC) • Segment Operating Earnings • Free Cash Flow

Decisions and Actions

Factors Guiding Our Compensation Decisions

- Market compensation rates for each position
- Company's performance against pre-established goals
- Relative share performance of the Company compared to the broader stock market
- Experience, skills and expected future contribution and leadership of each individual
- Contributions and performance of each individual

2017 Compensation Decisions

(see pages 38-40 for details)

- **Base Pay:** NEO salary increases ranged from 1.9% to 3% to reflect market-based adjustments, except for Messrs. Moret and Goris. Mr. Moret was promoted to President and CEO and his base pay increased from \$600,000 to \$950,000 effective July 1, 2016 due to his promotion. Mr. Goris was promoted to SVP and CFO and his base pay increased to \$475,000 effective February 6, 2017. These decisions are consistent with our compensation philosophy to bring salary closer to market competitive levels over two to three years following a significant promotion.
- **Annual Incentive Compensation Plan (ICP):** ICP targets were based on Company and segment financial results, as in prior years. In fiscal 2017, we surpassed target goals for all Company level measures resulting in fiscal 2017 ICP awards above target for our NEOs (average 134% of target payout). All NEOs ICP targets as a percentage of base salary remained unchanged for 2017, except for Messrs. Moret and Goris. Mr. Moret's target increased to 120% effective for fiscal 2017 and Mr. Goris' target increased to 70% effective February 2017 upon promotion to SVP and CFO.
- **Long-Term Incentives (LTI):** The Committee considered the Company's performance during fiscal 2016, market competitive pay, and the Company's philosophical orientation toward performance-based compensation when determining fiscal 2017 equity grants. Fiscal 2017 grant values ranged from 4% to 7% higher relative to fiscal 2016, except for Messrs. Moret and Goris. Mr. Moret received a \$3.6M grant and Mr. Goris received two grants, one at the time annual grants were made in December and a second in February upon promotion to SVP and CFO. For additional information on incentive awards made in fiscal 2017, see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table and Outstanding Equity Awards Table.

Shareowner Advisory Vote and Shareowner Outreach

- At our 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareowners, 90% of the shares voted at the meeting approved our executive compensation programs.
- In each of the last three years of shareowner advisory voting, we received 90% or greater shareowner approval.
- We believe these results represent a strong endorsement of our executive compensation philosophy and pay programs.
- In fiscal 2017, we invited our largest shareowners (excluding index funds and brokerage accounts), who represent 23% of our outstanding shares, for phone conferences with our management to discuss governance, compensation and proxy matters. The comments related to our executive compensation programs were overwhelmingly supportive of our current pay programs and designs.

2018 Program Updates

(see page 41 for details)

Based on our shareowner advisory vote on executive compensation, as well as input gained during shareowner outreach, the Compensation Committee determined that our current executive compensation program is well aligned with shareowner expectations. There are no significant changes to the design of our executive compensation programs for fiscal 2018.

Fiscal 2017 Goals and Performance


Early in the year, the Board of Directors approved an annual operating plan that reflected our expectations for our performance during fiscal 2017. The annual operating plan called for continued improvement in our financial results from fiscal 2016.

Goal Setting Process

The Compensation Committee used the annual operating plan as the basis for setting goals for sales, Adjusted EPS, ROIC, free cash flow and segment operating earnings under our incentive compensation plans. For fiscal 2017, the annual ICP target payout was set based upon goals for each measure above the high end of the external guidance range established at the beginning of the fiscal year. This was viewed by the Committee as appropriate based on challenging economic conditions and long-term sales growth expectations.

The Compensation Committee determined that meeting these goals would require significant effort and achievement on the part of the management team and all Company employees in the continued execution of our growth and performance strategy. The charts below display the fiscal 2017 actual results relative to the goals set at the beginning of the year for the financial measures in the annual ICP for our CEO. All goals are reset each year, with the requirement that Adjusted EPS and sales goals require year over year improvement. The Committee determines the ROIC goal based upon a number of factors, including macroeconomic and accounting impacts. The free cash flow goal was set at 105% of Adjusted Net Income.

Key Business Results and Goals: Annual Incentive Compensation Plan (ICP) for Our CEO



⁽¹⁾ Please refer to ICP measures table on page 35 for further explanation of how these non-GAAP financial measures are calculated.

Aligning Pay with Performance

	Supports Pay for Performance			
	Supports Attraction and Retention	Current Year Financial and Operational Performance	Long-Term Financial Performance	Creation of Shareowner Value
Salary	✓			
Annual Incentive Compensation (ICP)	✓	✓		✓
Long-Term Incentives (LTI)	✓		✓	✓
Retirement Plans	✓			

Our long-term business strategy seeks sustained organic growth through, among other things, expanding our served markets, continuing to innovate and enhancing our market access. We have a strong productivity culture that has allowed us to reinvest in organic growth. Acquisitions and partnerships also serve to accelerate our strategy. We believe:

- Our employees' knowledge of our customers and their applications and our technology are key factors that make our long-term business strategy work.

- It is important to align the compensation of our leadership with our long-term business strategy.
- Our short- and long-term incentive plans, among other things, should focus the management team's efforts in the areas that are critical to the success of our long-term business strategy.

The quality of our leadership has a direct impact on our performance and, with the oversight of the Compensation Committee, we offer compensation plans, programs and policies intended to attract and retain executive talent and "pay for performance," including the creation of shareowner value.

We believe that a significant portion of an executive's compensation should be variable and the variable portion (ICP and LTI) directly linked to our performance and the creation of shareowner value. As shown in the charts below, the Compensation Committee planned 83% of the CEO's target compensation and approximately 72% of the other NEOs' target compensation to be linked to performance in fiscal 2017.


CEO 2017

Total Direct Compensation Mix



Other NEO 2017

Total Direct Compensation Mix



Compensation Review Process

We evaluate and take into account market data in setting each element of our officers' compensation. We define market practice by using the results of surveys of major companies (the Major Companies) provided by Willis Towers Watson and Aon Hewitt (collectively, the Survey Providers). The Willis Towers Watson and Aon Hewitt databases include over 700 and 340 companies, respectively. In setting compensation levels for each element of pay, we analyze data relating to the Major Companies using regression analyses

developed by the Survey Providers based on our sales. The market data analysis is typically the starting point for, and a significant factor in, our compensation determinations, but is not the only factor as we also consider the scope of the individual officer's responsibilities and more subjective factors, such as the Compensation Committee's (and the CEO's in the case of other officers) assessment of the officer's individual performance and expected future contributions and leadership.

The Compensation Committee has engaged Willis Towers Watson, its independent compensation advisor, to provide advice on compensation trends and market information. See page 12 for a description of the services provided by Willis Towers Watson to the Company.

The Committee engaged Willis Towers Watson in September 2017 to conduct a review of all of our compensation programs relative to the potential for incentives to motivate excessive risk-taking in a way that

could materially affect the Company. Willis Towers Watson reviewed the measures used in each program, the target setting process, and the overall governance of our compensation plans. The review concluded that we have strong governance procedures and that our plans do not present a material risk to the Company or encourage excessive risk taking by participants. Willis Towers Watson has updated this review annually and has come to a similar conclusion in prior years regarding the Company's compensation programs.

Executive Compensation Best Practices

Our Compensation Committee and management employ the following best practices to effectively manage our executive compensation programs, including:

-
- Annual benchmarking of executive pay levels and design based on data from nationally recognized compensation consulting firms
 - Rigorous executive stock ownership requirements
 - Independent directors with significant Compensation Committee experience and knowledge of the drivers of our long-term performance
 - Incentive plan claw-backs for our CEO and CFO
 - Annual review of consultant independence
 - Assessment of incentive plan risk
 - Set incentive thresholds and targets that incent improved year over year and long-term financial performance
 - Set target performance share payout at 60th percentile of relative TSR performance
 - No employment agreements with officers
 - Limited use of change of control agreements, including no excise tax gross-ups, and with a double-trigger requirement for equity vesting
 - Limited use of perquisites
-

Use of Tally Sheets

We consider the total compensation (earned or potentially available) for each NEO in establishing each element of compensation. As part of our compensation review process, the Compensation Committee's independent consultant conducts a total compensation review or "Tally Sheet" study for the Compensation Committee. This review encompasses all elements of compensation, including base salary, annual incentives, LTI grants, perquisites, health benefits, and retirement and termination benefits. This review includes a

consideration of amounts to be paid and other benefits accruing to our NEOs upon their retirement or other termination of employment. We consider the potential outcomes of annual incentives and LTI grants under a variety of performance scenarios. We also review the NEOs' current balances in various compensation and benefit plans. Based upon the results of this analysis, the Compensation Committee concluded that our compensation programs are in line with our compensation philosophy and provide an appropriate range of outcomes tied directly to the Company's and individual's performance.

Compensation Risk Assessment

We do not believe our compensation programs encourage our executives to take excessive risk due to, among many considerations, the following plan design elements:

-
- Our ICP provides a balance among sales, earnings, cash flow and asset performance, limiting the effect of over-performance in one area at the expense of others
 - Payouts under our ICP are capped at twice the individual's ICP target, limiting excessive rewards for short-term results
 - Recoupment policy and claw-back agreements mitigate against risk
 - Compensation Committee can reduce or withhold the incentive if it determines that the executive has caused the Company to incur excessive risk
 - Majority of the Total Direct Compensation for our NEOs is in the form of long-term incentives
 - Our mix of equity vehicles appropriately motivates long-term performance
 - Majority of equity vests over a period of multiple years with performance shares and restricted stock vesting at three years
 - Stock ownership requirements for our NEOs, which encourage a long-term view
-

Role of Management

The Compensation Committee assesses the performance of the CEO and sets the CEO's compensation in executive session without the CEO present. The CEO reviews the performance of our other officers, including the NEOs, with the Compensation Committee and makes recommendations regarding each element of their compensation

Elements of Compensation

Base Salary

We develop base salary guidelines for our officers at the median of the market data. However, the Compensation Committee's salary decisions reflect the market data as well as the individual's responsibilities and more subjective factors, such as the Compensation Committee's (and the CEO's in the case of other officers) assessment of the officer's individual performance, skills and experience, internal equity, and expected future contributions and leadership. It is the Compensation Committee's approach to move base salaries to market over time when there are significant promotions. The Compensation Committee reviews base salaries for our officers every year.

Annual Incentive Compensation

Our annual incentive compensation plans are designed to reward our executives for achieving Company and business segment results and for individual performance. Under our ICP, we establish for each executive at the start of each fiscal year an incentive compensation target equal to a percentage of the individual's base salary. The target for annual incentive compensation is generally set at the median of the market data. Actual incentive compensation payments under our ICP may be higher or lower than the incentive compensation target based on financial, operating and individual performance as described below. In line with our pay-for-performance orientation, actual ICP payouts vary from year to year based on performance compared to goals.

In the early part of each fiscal year, the CEO reviews with the Compensation Committee the recommended financial goals for the fiscal year for purposes of our ICP. These goals include:

- measurable financial goals with respect to our overall performance; and
- for certain officers engaged in our business segments, measurable financial goals with respect to the performance of those business segments.

The Compensation Committee approves a set of financial goals, taking into account the CEO's recommendations, and allocates a weighting of the target incentive compensation among the various goals that it establishes. For fiscal 2017, the Compensation Committee determined in the early part of the year that no payments were to be made under our ICP if Adjusted EPS was less than the previous year's results.

for the Compensation Committee's review and approval. The Compensation Committee and the CEO are assisted in their review by Willis Towers Watson, the Senior Vice President, Human Resources and the Vice President, Compensation & Benefits. The other NEOs do not play a role in their own compensation determination other than discussing their performance with the CEO.

After the end of the fiscal year, the Compensation Committee and the CEO evaluate our performance and the performance of our business segments and consider the results compared to the pre-established goals. As a starting point, target amounts under our ICP are generally earned if we achieve our financial goals for the year. For fiscal 2017, the annual ICP target payout was set based upon goals for each measure above the high end of the external guidance range established at the beginning of the fiscal year. This was viewed by the Committee as appropriate based on economic conditions and an expectation of sales growth below our long-term financial goals. In addition to performance relative to pre-established financial goals, awards to each officer under our ICP may be adjusted based on the Compensation Committee's year-end assessment (and except in the case of the CEO, based on the CEO's recommendation) as to the individual's achievement of individual goals and objectives and certain more subjective assessments of leadership acumen and the individual's expected future contributions. Accordingly, while achieving our financial goals is extremely important in determining our annual incentive compensation, the Compensation Committee maintains discretion to adjust annual incentive compensation, not to exceed the maximum under our Annual Incentive Compensation Plan for Senior Executive Officers (Senior ICP) as described in the following paragraph.

Under our Senior ICP, which applies to the CEO and four other Senior Executive Officers, annual incentive compensation payments to those officers in total may not exceed 1% of our applicable net earnings (as defined in that plan) with the CEO's maximum payment not to exceed 35% of the available funds, and each of the other four NEOs' maximum payouts, respectively, not to exceed 15% of the available funds. The process for determining ICP awards for these individuals is the same as that used for the other ICP participants with the exception being that these individuals are subject to the noted limit on payments. However, consistent with our other ICP participants, payouts are capped at twice the individual's ICP target.

The fiscal 2017 annual incentive compensation measures for Messrs. Moret, Chand, and McDermott are based upon Company performance and the annual incentive compensation measures for Messrs. Goris, Crandall and Kulaszewicz are based upon a combination of Company performance and the performance of the business segment they supported and led.

The following table shows the 2017 Company and segment financial goals used to determine awards under our ICP for fiscal 2017 and our performance compared to those goals:

	ICP Sales Measure (\$ in millions) ⁽¹⁾			ICP Adjusted EPS Measure ⁽²⁾			ICP Return on Invested Capital Measure ⁽³⁾			ICP Segment Operating Earnings Measure (\$ in millions) ⁽⁴⁾			ICP Free Cash Flow Measure (\$ in millions) ⁽⁵⁾		
	Goal	Performance	%	Goal	Performance	%	Goal	Performance	Difference	Goal	Performance	%	Goal	Performance	%
Company	\$6,326	\$6,405	101.2%	\$6.33	\$6.48	102.4%	35.1%	37.7%	2.6 pts.				\$857	\$1,049	122.4%
Architecture & Software	\$2,836	\$2,943	103.8%							\$739	\$782	105.8%			
Control Products & Solutions	\$3,490	\$3,462	99.2%							\$520	\$452	86.9%			

⁽¹⁾ Sales for the Company as used for ICP purposes is a non-GAAP financial measure and is equal to sales from continuing operations only and excludes the effect of changes in currency exchange rates (\$94 million unfavorable). Sales for Architecture & Software excludes the effect of changes in currency exchange rates (\$44 million). Sales for Control Products & Solutions excludes the effect of changes in currency exchange rates (\$50 million). We use sales excluding the effect of changes in currency exchange rates as one measure to monitor and evaluate our performance. We measure the currency impact on sales as the difference between local currency sales translated to U.S. dollars using annual operating plan rates versus local currency sales translated to U.S. dollars using GAAP rates.

⁽²⁾ Adjusted EPS is a non-GAAP measure that excludes non-operating pension costs and their related tax effects from income from continuing operations and corresponding EPS. In 2017, the Adjusted EPS for ICP excluded favorable gain (\$0.28) from a divestiture. The Company defines non-operating pension costs as defined benefit plan interest cost, expected return on plan assets, amortization of actuarial gains and losses and the impact of any plan curtailments or settlements.

⁽³⁾ For a complete definition and explanation of our calculation of return on invested capital, see Supplemental Financial Information on page 62. In 2017, the Adjusted ROIC for ICP excluded a gain from a divestiture (\$36 million, net of tax), and a discretionary U.S. pension contribution (\$157 million, net of tax).

⁽⁴⁾ Information regarding how we define segment operating earnings is set forth in Note 15, Business Segment Information, to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017.

⁽⁵⁾ We calculated the \$1,049 million in free cash flow performance, an internal non-GAAP performance measure, as cash provided by continuing operating activities (\$1,034 million), minus capital expenditures (\$142 million), plus discretionary after tax U.S. pension contribution (\$157 million). Our definition of free cash flow for this internal performance measure takes into consideration capital investments required to maintain the operations of our businesses and execute our strategy. Cash provided by continuing operating activities adds back non-cash depreciation expense to earnings but does not reflect a charge for necessary capital expenditures. Our definition of free cash flow excludes the operating cash flows and capital expenditures related to our discontinued operations. We use free cash flow as one measure to monitor and evaluate performance. Our definition of free cash flow may differ from definitions used by other companies.

Long-Term Incentives

The principal purpose of our long-term incentives is to reward management for creating shareowner value and to align the financial interests of management with shareowners. The creation of shareowner value is important not only in absolute terms, but also relative to the value created as compared to other investment alternatives available to our shareowners. Our practice is to make annual grants of LTI awards to executives using a combination of stock options, performance shares and restricted stock.

As a critical element of our executive compensation programs, long-term incentives make up the largest component of total pay for our NEOs. We establish long-term incentive values at the median (50th percentile) of the Major Companies, the same process we use to establish base salary guidelines and ICP target opportunities. The companies used in determining these values are included in the Willis Towers Watson and Aon Hewitt executive compensation databases described above.

The Committee then considers a variety of factors in determining whether actual grant date values for long-term incentive awards should deviate from the median values. These factors include:

- the Company's recent financial performance;
- changes in market long-term incentive grant practices;
- share availability and usage patterns at the Company;
- individual performance;
- scope of an individual's role; and
- internal equity and retention.

These factors are not weighted and there is no formula for how the factors are applied in determining actual grant date values. Instead, the Committee uses its judgment in considering these factors to ensure there is a strong correlation between pay and performance, a theme prevalent throughout the executive pay programs. Actual grant date values are expected to approximate the median baseline level in years when these factors do not warrant increased grant values. Actual grant date values are positioned between the 50th and 75th percentile of the relevant market in years when performance and the factors noted

above warrant higher than median grant date values. Actual realized values from these grants will reflect changes in Company stock price over time and how the Company's stock price performs relative to the S&P 500 Index. For fiscal 2017, we calculated the number of options, performance shares and shares of restricted stock based on the grant date values and the fair market value of Company stock on December 6, 2016, the date of grant.

We generally make long-term incentive grants near the beginning of each fiscal year at the same time the Compensation Committee performs its annual management performance evaluation and takes other compensation actions. Annual equity grants for officers occur on the same date as our annual equity grants for our other professional and managerial employees, which in fiscal 2017 was the date of the Compensation Committee's December 2016 meeting. As the grant date for our annual long-term incentive awards generally occurs on the day the Compensation Committee meeting is held in the first quarter of our fiscal year, the grant date is set in advance when the schedule of Compensation Committee meetings is arranged. We do not grant equity awards in anticipation of the release of material non-public information. Similarly, we do not time the release of information based on equity award grant dates.

The CEO recommends to the Compensation Committee the equity grants for other executives, and the Compensation Committee approves all equity grants for executives. We also at times award equity grants to new executives as they are hired or promoted during the year. These grants are approved by the Compensation Committee, and the grant date is the date the Compensation Committee approves the grant or, if later, the start date for a new executive.

In fiscal 2017, the overall structure of our long-term incentives program to executives continued to have three components. We granted stock options, performance shares and restricted stock at approximately 45%, 40% and 15% of the total long-term incentive value, respectively. We determined this allocation of equity vehicles takes into account a review of market practice of high performing companies and maintains our strong emphasis on shareowner value creation.

Stock Options

We believe that stock options are an appropriate vehicle to reward management for increases in shareowner value, as they provide no value if the share price does not increase. Our stock option grants vest in 1/3 increments at one, two and three years from the grant date and have a 10-year life. The exercise price of all stock option grants is the fair market value of our stock at the close of trading on the date of the grant. Our long-term incentives plan does not allow us to reprice stock options. Stock options granted to executives and other employees during fiscal 2017 represented approximately 0.8% of outstanding common shares at the end of fiscal 2017. Total options outstanding at the end of fiscal 2017 were approximately 3.0% of outstanding shares at the end of fiscal 2017. The Compensation Committee takes these figures into account when determining the annual stock option grant.

Performance Shares

Performance shares are designed to reward management for our relative performance compared to the companies in the S&P 500 Index over a three-year period. The payouts of performance shares granted will be made in shares of our common stock or cash, and will range from zero to 200% of the target number of shares awarded based on our total shareowner return compared to the companies in the S&P 500 Index over a three-year period. The payouts will be at zero, the target amount and the maximum amount if our total shareowner return is equal to or less than the 30th percentile, equal to the 60th percentile and equal to or greater than the 75th percentile of the total shareowner return of companies in the S&P 500 Index, respectively, over the applicable three-year period. The number of shares earned will be interpolated for results between those percentiles. If performance shares are earned but total shareowner return is negative, the amount of shares earned will be reduced by 50%.

Restricted Stock

We grant restricted shares primarily in order to retain high quality executives throughout a business cycle. Accordingly, restricted shares do not vest until three years after the grant date.

Perquisites

During fiscal 2017, our officers received a very limited perquisite package that included personal liability insurance, annual physicals, and recreational activities at Board retreats. On occasion, and with the approval of our CEO, an officer may have his or her spouse accompany them on the Company plane when traveling on business. If the spouse's travel is personal, the executive incurs taxable income for that travel. We do not gross-up or in any way compensate the officer for any income tax owed for any personal travel. Upon retirement, officers may elect to continue the personal liability insurance coverage at their own expense.

Other

With regard to other benefits, our officers receive the same benefits as other eligible U.S. salaried employees. They participate on the same basis as other eligible U.S. salaried employees in:

- our health and welfare plans, pension plan and 401(k) savings plan;
- our non-qualified pension and savings plans (these plans use the same formulas as our qualified plans and provide benefits that may not be paid under our qualified plans due to Internal Revenue Code limitations); and
- our deferred compensation plan (this plan offers investment measurement options similar to those in our 401(k) savings plan and does not have any guaranteed rates of return).

Compensation Deductibility

Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) provides that we may not deduct in any taxable year compensation in excess of \$1 million paid in that year to our chief executive officer and our other three most highly compensated executive officers, other than the chief financial officer, unless the compensation is “performance-based.” Grants of stock options, performance shares and awards under our Senior ICP are considered “performance-based” compensation for this purpose. Base salaries and restricted stock awards do not qualify as “performance-based” compensation for this purpose. With the exception of the portion of restricted stock granted to Mr. Moret, we do not anticipate that any other portion of our fiscal 2017 compensation to the NEOs covered by Section 162(m) will exceed the deductibility limitations of Section 162(m).

Deductibility under Section 162(m) of the Code is one of many factors the Company takes into account in determining executive officer compensation. From time to time certain nondeductible compensation may be paid and the Board and the Compensation Committee reserve the authority to award nondeductible compensation to executive officers in appropriate circumstances. Despite the Committee’s efforts to structure certain incentives in a manner that is exempt from Section 162(m) and therefore not subject to its deduction limits, no assurance can be given that compensation we intend to satisfy the requirements for exemption from Section 162(m) in fact will. Further, it is possible that changes in legislation will eliminate the exemption from Section 162(m) for certain types of compensation.

Change of Control and Severance

We have change of control agreements with each of the NEOs and certain other officers. These agreements are effective if there is a change of control on or before September 30, 2019.

There are two main purposes of these agreements.

- First, they provide protection for the executive officers who would negotiate any potential acquisitions of the Company, thus encouraging them to negotiate a good outcome for shareowners, without concern that their negotiating stance will put at risk their financial situation immediately after an acquisition.
- Second, the agreements seek to ensure continuity of business operations during times of potential uncertainty, by removing the incentive to seek other employment in anticipation of a possible change of control.

In short, they seek to ensure that we may rely on key executives to continue to manage our business consistent with the Company’s best

interests despite concerns for personal risks. We do not believe these agreements encourage our executives to favor or oppose a change of control. We believe these agreements strike a balance that the amounts are neither so low to cause an executive to oppose a change of control nor so high as to cause an executive to favor a change of control.

For a description of the value of the change of control agreements, see “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control.”

In the case of terminations other than those to which our change of control agreements apply, we have no severance agreements in place with the NEOs. However, in the past we have at times entered into severance agreements with executives upon termination of their employment with the terms and conditions depending upon the individual circumstances of the termination, the transition role we expect from the executive and our best interests.

Executive Stock Ownership Policy

We believe our focus on pay for performance is sharpened by aligning closely the financial interests of our officers with those of shareowners. Accordingly, our stock ownership policy sets the following minimum ownership requirements for our NEOs. Officers must meet these requirements within five years after becoming an officer and are expected to make progress at the rate of 20% of target each year.

	Common Stock Market Value (Multiple of Base Salary)
Chief Executive Officer	5
Other NEOs and Senior Vice Presidents	3

Shares owned directly (including restricted shares) or through our savings plans (including share equivalents under our non-qualified savings plans) and the after-tax value of vested unexercised stock options are considered in determining whether an officer meets the requirements, except that no more than 50% of the requirements can be met by the after-tax value of vested unexercised stock options. If officers fall behind expected progress or fail to maintain their required level of ownership, they may not sell any shares of Company common

stock until the ownership requirements are met, except that when exercising options or upon vesting of restricted or performance shares, they may sell shares to cover the award price and applicable taxes and are required to retain the net shares until the ownership requirements are met. Also, if an NEO subject to the requirements does not make appropriate progress to meet the requirements, the NEO’s future long-term incentive grants may be adversely affected.

At September 30, 2017, the six NEOs owned an aggregate of 236,696 shares (including share equivalents under our non-qualified savings plans) of our common stock, with an aggregate market value of \$42.2 million. As of September 30, 2017, all of the NEOs met the stock ownership requirements.

Officer Trading Requirements

Under our trading procedures, officers may not engage in any transactions involving Company securities, including gifts and option exercises, without first obtaining pre-clearance of the transaction from our General Counsel. Generally, trading is only permitted during announced trading periods. Employees subject to trading restrictions, including officers, may enter into a trading plan under Rule 10b5-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) that would allow trades outside a trading period. Our policy on Rule 10b5-1 trading plans requires (i) plans to be entered into during an open trading window, (ii) trades to occur during a trading window unless the plan uses a limit price or is used to pay taxes on equity vesting outside

a window, (iii) a 60-day wait before the first trade can occur (unless the trade is to cover taxes on equity vesting before then), and (iv) Company approval. Plans can be amended only during an open trading window and cannot be terminated except in extraordinary circumstances, subject in both cases to approval by our General Counsel. We also have (a) an anti-hedging policy that prohibits employees from engaging in any transaction that is designed or intended to hedge or otherwise limit exposure to decreases in the market value of Company stock and (b) an anti-pledging policy that prohibits officers from pledging Company securities.

Recoupment Policy, Claw-backs and Other Post-Employment Provisions

The Company entered into agreements with Mr. Crandall in September 2009 as CFO, Mr. Moret in July 2016 as CEO, and Mr. Goris, when he became CFO in February 2017, with respect to the reimbursement (or claw-back) of certain compensation if the Company is required to restate any financial statements due to material noncompliance with the financial reporting requirements under the federal securities laws. In 2013, we also adopted a recoupment policy that provides that if the Company is required to restate any financial statements for periods from and after fiscal year 2013 due to the Company's material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirements under the federal securities laws, the Company will recover, as determined by the Compensation Committee, from the CEO and CFO, any incentive- or equity-based compensation received by the executives from the Company during the 12 months following

the public filing of such financial statements and any profits realized by the executives on the sale of Company securities during that 12-month period. Incentive compensation subject to claw-back or recoupment includes: ICP, equity-based compensation received, profits realized from the sale of securities of the Company and other incentive-based compensation.

In addition, our stock option agreements for officers contain certain post-employment restrictive covenants, including two-year non-competition and non-solicitation covenants, that give the Company the right, in the event of a breach, to recoup the gain on any shares of Company common stock acquired upon exercise of any Company stock options during the two years before the date of the officer's retirement or other termination of employment.

Compensation of President and Chief Executive Officer

Mr. Moret was elected to succeed Mr. Nosbusch as President and CEO effective July 1, 2016. Mr. Moret's salary was increased from \$600,000 to \$950,000 effective July 1, 2016 due to his promotion and remained unchanged during fiscal 2017. Consistent with our compensation philosophy to meet competitive norms over two to three years following a significant promotion, the Compensation Committee positioned his salary below the median for CEOs as compared to the Major Companies, using regression analyses developed by the Survey Providers based on our sales.

Mr. Moret's ICP target as a percentage of base salary is 120% effective for fiscal 2017. There were no fiscal 2016 payouts based on performance to goals and as a result of our pay-for-performance philosophy. However, Mr. Moret was awarded an ICP payment of \$1,567,700 for fiscal 2017 in December 2017. Mr. Moret's payment was

138% of his target annual incentive compensation. In determining Mr. Moret's 2017 ICP award, the Compensation Committee concluded that under his leadership the Company performed well and also considered:

- Company performance, under Mr. Moret's leadership, compared to our operating goals and objectives;
- Information on Mr. Moret's annual cash compensation compared to annual cash compensation of CEOs in our market data; and
- ICP awards to other NEOs.

As stated earlier, for the performance period October 1, 2014 to September 30, 2017, 187% of the target number of performance shares were earned, resulting in 9,594 shares vesting for Mr. Moret on December 2, 2017.


For fiscal 2017, Mr. Moret was granted stock options for 62,400 shares, 8,560 performance shares at target and 3,850 restricted shares with a grant date fair value of \$3,593,971. This amount was determined using the valuation method described in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table. The anticipated value of this grant was set below the median of LTI grants to CEOs in the market data by the Compensation Committee based on the following considerations:

- *Information on Mr. Moret's total compensation compared to the total compensation of CEOs of the market data.* For long-term incentives the results of the Willis Towers Watson and AON Hewitt databases were used for conducting the comparison. The data showed that Mr. Moret's total compensation and long-term incentives compensation are consistent with our compensation philosophy to meet competitive norms over two to three year following his promotion in July 2016;
- *Internal comparisons with the other named executive officers.* Mr. Moret's pay relative to the other named executive officers is in line with the survey data of CEOs to other named executive officers

of the Major Companies in the Survey Providers database using the regression analyses developed by the Survey Providers based on our sales, taking into consideration his limited time in the CEO role. Mr. Moret's pay is higher than the other named executive officers due to his greater level of responsibility and accountability, and consistent with market practices that follow a similar pattern;

- *Historical information regarding Mr. Moret's long-term compensation opportunities.* This information indicated that Mr. Moret's long-term compensation opportunities have yielded significant realized and unrealized value for Mr. Moret, particularly with respect to equity awards. The value reflects Mr. Moret's long service to the Company, and most importantly, the returns to our shareowners. We believe this is in line with the creation of shareowner value objective of our pay-for-performance philosophy; and
- *Mr. Moret's past and expected future contributions to our long-term performance.* The Committee believes that Mr. Moret has contributed significantly to our growth and profitability this fiscal year, and is expected to continue to contribute to our success for the benefit of shareowners, customers and other stakeholders.

The following line graph compares the cumulative total shareowner return on our common stock against the cumulative total return of the S&P 500 Index for the period of five years from October 1, 2012 to September 30, 2017, assuming in each case a fixed investment of \$100 at the respective closing prices on September 30, 2012 and reinvestment of all dividends. Our cumulative 5-year performance outpaced the S&P 500.



The cumulative total returns on Rockwell Automation common stock and the S&P 500 Index as of each September 30, 2012-2017 plotted in the above graph are as follows:

	9/30/2012	9/30/2013	9/30/2014	9/30/2015	9/30/2016	9/30/2017
Rockwell Automation*	\$100.00	\$157.17	\$164.66	\$155.63	\$192.64	\$286.17
S&P 500 Index	100.00	119.34	142.89	142.02	163.93	194.44
Cash dividends per common share	1.745	1.98	2.32	2.60	2.90	3.04

* Includes the reinvestment of all dividends in our common stock.

We believe the returns to shareowners shown in this graph indicate that our pay-for-performance philosophy and our emphasis on long-term incentives are well in line with the interests of shareowners, and that Mr. Moret's compensation is appropriate given both the fiscal 2017 and performance of our company.

Compensation of Other Named Executive Officers

In determining the compensation for Messrs. Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott we considered:

- the market data for their positions;
- internal equity between each named executive officer and our other officers;
- salary increase plans for other employees; and
- our performance and the performance of their business segments and regions (where applicable) as well as their performance compared to their operating and leadership objectives.

Mr. Goris succeeded Mr. Crandall, who was appointed Senior Vice President, Control Products & Solutions. Mr. Goris' annual salary was increased to \$475,000 effective February 2017 to reflect his promotion. The Committee determined that the salaries for Messrs. Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott would increase to \$538,200, \$656,000, \$624,000, and \$543,800, respectively, during fiscal 2017.

In determining the fiscal 2017 ICP payouts for Messrs. Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott, the following factors were considered:

- Company and business unit performance compared to pre-established financial goals;
- each officer's achievement of individual goals and objectives; and
- certain subjective assessments of leadership acumen and the individual's expected future contributions.

As discussed above, we surpassed target goals for all Company level measures resulting in fiscal 2017 ICP awards above target for our NEOs (average 134% of target payout). Mr. Goris' ICP target as a percentage of base salary increased to 70% effective February 2017 with his promotion to CFO. As a result, in December 2017, Messrs. Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott were awarded ICP payments of \$431,700, \$462,600, \$512,700, \$604,200, and \$434,200, respectively, which represents awards that were 151%, 138%, 112%, 138%, 128%, respectively of target.

As stated earlier, for the performance period October 1, 2014 to September 30, 2017, 187% of the target number of performance shares were earned resulting in 1,309, 5,910, 9,594, 9,594, and 5,910 shares vesting, respectively, for Messrs. Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott on December 2, 2017.

On December 6, 2016, Mr. Goris was granted stock options for 3,100 shares, 420 performance shares at target and 190 restricted shares with a grant date fair value of \$177,457. This grant was relative to market competitive pay for his role as Vice President, Finance. Additionally, on February 7, 2017, Mr. Goris was granted stock options for 28,400 shares and 960 restricted shares with a grant date value of \$951,698. This grant was relative to the market competitive pay for his time in the role as SVP and CFO during fiscal 2017. At the beginning of fiscal 2017, Dr. Chand was granted options for 14,700 shares, 4,020 performance shares at target and 910 restricted stock; Messrs. Crandall and Kulaszewicz were each granted options for 23,200 shares, 6,360 performance shares at target and 1,430 restricted shares; and Mr. McDermott was granted options for 14,300 shares, 3,920 performance shares at target and 880 restricted shares. Consistent with our executive compensation philosophy, in determining these grants, the following factors were considered:

- information on the officers' total compensation compared to the compensation of similar positions at the Major Companies in the Willis Towers Watson executive compensation database, using a regression analysis developed by Willis Towers Watson based on our sales;
- internal comparisons with other officers;
- historical information regarding their long-term compensation opportunities; and
- past and expected future contributions to our long-term performance.

Changes in Compensation Programs for Fiscal 2018

At our 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareowners, 90% of the advisory vote shares cast at the meeting approved the compensation of our NEOs. Based on this strong endorsement, the Compensation Committee did not implement any changes in our executive compensation program as a result of such vote.

Base Salary

In fiscal 2018, the salaries for Messrs. Moret, Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz and McDermott will be increased effective January 2018 to \$1,100,000, \$530,000, \$548,900, \$669,100, \$636,500 and \$554,800, respectively. These changes average 2%, excluding Messrs. Moret and Goris. Mr. Moret's salary was increased 15.8% based on the following considerations:

- company performance, under Mr. Moret's leadership, compared to our operating goals and objectives the rate of growth required to achieve our goals,
- prior salary increase was July 2016 upon promotion to CEO which is 18 months prior to this increase,
- consistent with our intent to increase salary to meet competitive norms over two to three years, and
- promotion to Chairman and CEO effective January 2018.

Mr. Goris' salary was increased 11.6% consistent with our intent to increase salary to meet competitive norms over two to three years following his February 2017 promotion to CFO.

Annual Incentive Compensation

For fiscal 2018, the ICP financial measures and weightings will remain the same as for fiscal 2017 (sales, Adjusted EPS, free cash flow and ROIC or segment operating earnings). The Compensation Committee has set an Adjusted EPS threshold for minimum payout equal to fiscal 2017 Adjusted EPS performance for NEOs. Target amounts will generally be earned under our ICP if we achieve our financial goals for the year, and maximum payouts will be earned if we significantly exceed the goals. In determining the payout curves, the Compensation Committee considered:

- actual fiscal 2017 performance,
- the rate of growth required to achieve our goals, and
- the impact of global macroeconomic factors on the Company's business prospects.

The Compensation Committee retains the discretion to modify the formula award based on its assessment of our performance.

Long-Term Incentives

For the fiscal 2018 grants, the overall structure of our long-term incentive program remains unchanged. We calculated the number of options, performance shares and shares of restricted stock using the closing price of our common stock on December 8, 2017, which was the date of grant. The exercise price of options continues to be the closing price on the date of the grant. As discussed under 'Compensation of President and Chief Executive Officer', the Committee started with market median grants and then adjusted the grants based on the factors described above, including Company and individual performance, to determine the actual grant date value of long-term incentive awards.

The Compensation Committee approved at its December 2017 meeting the following grants of equity awards to the NEOs for fiscal 2018:

Name	Options	Performance Shares	Shares of Restricted Stock
Blake D. Moret	57,100	8,340	3,500
Patrick P. Goris	16,500	2,410	1,020
Sujeet Chand	10,400	1,520	640
Theodore D. Crandall	16,500	2,410	1,020
Frank C. Kulaszewicz	16,500	2,410	1,020
John P. McDermott	10,200	1,490	630

The performance shares and restricted stock grant agreements have terms and conditions that are the same as the grants made in fiscal year 2017. See footnotes 2 and 4 to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the total compensation of each of the named executive officers for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2017, 2016 and 2015.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Option Awards ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)	TOTAL (\$)
Blake D. Moret President & Chief Executive Officer ⁽⁶⁾	2017	950,000	0	2,017,747	1,576,224	1,567,700	115,762	51,095	6,278,528
	2016	689,504	0	806,813	1,182,432	0	1,145,122	35,445	3,859,316
	2015	594,923	0	695,104	650,504	276,700	714,987	29,585	2,961,803
Patrick P. Goris Senior Vice President & Chief Financial Officer ⁽⁷⁾	2017	426,362	0	242,585	886,570	431,700	36,875	16,908	2,041,000
Sujeet Chand Senior Vice President & Chief Technical Officer ⁽⁸⁾	2017	533,650	0	474,608	371,322	462,600	158,192	26,603	2,026,975
Theodore D. Crandall Senior Vice President ⁽⁷⁾	2017	652,000	0	749,549	586,032	512,700	222,057	33,880	2,756,218
	2016	640,000	0	701,353	585,120	0	1,127,237	33,256	3,086,966
	2015	635,431	0	695,104	650,504	348,400	819,038	30,794	3,179,271
Frank C. Kulaszewicz Senior Vice President	2017	618,000	0	749,549	586,032	604,200	151,060	31,560	2,740,401
	2016	600,000	0	701,353	585,120	0	1,025,178	31,234	2,942,885
	2015	594,923	0	695,104	650,504	247,100	689,937	28,845	2,906,413
John P. McDermott Senior Vice President	2017	540,475	0	461,797	361,218	434,200	104,009	25,099	1,926,798
	2016	530,500	0	431,855	360,400	0	943,177	25,147	2,291,079

⁽¹⁾ Amounts in this column represent the grant date fair value of restricted stock and performance share awards granted calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The grant date fair value of restricted stock was \$149.41, \$136.40, \$115.89, \$104.08, and \$115.69 per share for February 7, 2017, December 6, 2016, July 1, 2016, December 3, 2015, and December 2, 2014, respectively. Performance share awards are valued at the target number of shares with a grant date fair value of \$174.37, \$87.64, and \$103.70, for 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively. The assumptions applicable to these valuations are set forth in Note 10, Share-Based Compensation, to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017. The amounts shown may not correspond to the actual value that may be realized by the named executive officers. If the performance share awards are valued at two times the target number of shares (the maximum potential payout), then for fiscal 2017 the stock award amount would increase by \$1,492,607, \$73,235, \$350,484, \$554,497, \$554,497, and \$341,765 for Messrs. Moret, Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott, respectively. For additional information on awards made in fiscal 2017, see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table and Outstanding Equity Awards Table.

⁽²⁾ Amounts in this column represent the grant date fair value of option awards granted computed in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The grant date fair value was \$28.46, \$25.26, \$24.48, \$21.20, and \$26.66 per share for February 7, 2017, December 6, 2016, July 1, 2016, December 3, 2015, and December 2, 2014, respectively. The assumptions applicable to these valuations are set forth in Note 10, Share-Based Compensation, to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017. The amounts shown may not correspond to the actual value that may be realized by the named executive officers. For additional information on awards made in fiscal 2017, see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table and Outstanding Equity Awards Table.

⁽³⁾ This column represents amounts paid under our ICP for performance in the fiscal year. For more information about our ICP, see the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table.

⁽⁴⁾ We do not pay "above market" interest on non-qualified deferred compensation; therefore, this column reflects changes in pension values only. The changes in pension value amounts for each year represent the difference from September 30 of the prior year to September 30 of each year in the actuarial present value of the named executive officers' accrued pension benefit at their unreduced retirement age under our qualified and non-qualified pension plans. These amounts are based on benefits provided by the plan formula described on page 48 and converted to a present value using a discount rate which was 3.90% in fiscal year 2017, 3.75% in fiscal year 2016, and 4.55% in fiscal year 2015. For information on the formula and assumptions used to calculate these amounts see the Pension Benefits Table.

⁽⁵⁾ This column represents the Company matching contributions for the named executive officers under our savings plans, cash dividends paid on restricted stock held, and for Messrs. Chand and Kulaszewicz, patent awards paid during fiscal 2017. The aggregate amount of personal benefits and perquisites provided to each named executive officer during fiscal 2017, 2016, and 2015 is less than \$10,000 and, therefore, not included in All Other Compensation.

⁽⁶⁾ The Board of Directors elected Blake D. Moret, Senior Vice President, Control Products and Solutions, to Chief Executive Officer effective July 1, 2016.

⁽⁷⁾ The Board of Directors elected Patrick P. Goris as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective February 7, 2017. Mr. Goris succeeded Mr. Crandall, who was appointed Senior Vice President, Control Products & Solutions. Mr. Goris' annual salary was increased to \$475,000 and ICP target as a percentage of base salary increased from 40% to 70% effective February 2017. For additional information on Mr. Goris' incentive awards made in fiscal 2017, see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table and Outstanding Equity Awards Table.

⁽⁸⁾ Sujeet Chand is an NEO for the first time in fiscal 2017.

All Other Compensation Table

The following table describes each element of the All Other Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table for fiscal 2017.

Name	Value of Company Contributions to Savings Plans ⁽¹⁾ \$	Dividends on Restricted Stock ⁽²⁾ \$	Perquisites ⁽³⁾ \$	Other ⁽⁴⁾ \$	TOTAL \$
Blake D. Moret	28,500	22,595	—	—	51,095
Patrick P. Goris	12,796	4,112	—	—	16,908
Sujeet Chand	16,020	8,945	—	1,638	26,603
Theodore D. Crandall	19,569	14,311	—	—	33,880
Frank C. Kulaszewicz	17,082	14,311	—	167	31,560
John P. McDermott	16,222	8,877	—	—	25,099

⁽¹⁾ This column includes the Company matching contributions to the named executive officers' 401(k) savings plan and non-qualified savings plan accounts. This is consistent with the practice we use for all eligible employees.

⁽²⁾ This column represents cash dividends paid on restricted shares held by the named executive officers.

⁽³⁾ The aggregate amount of personal benefits and perquisites provided to each named executive officer during fiscal 2017 is less than \$10,000 and, therefore, not included in All Other Compensation.

⁽⁴⁾ This column includes patent awards paid during fiscal 2017.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

The following table provides information about equity and non-equity awards made to the named executive officers in fiscal 2017.

Name	Grant Type	Grant Date ⁽³⁾	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			All Other Stock Awards ⁽⁴⁾ : Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards ⁽⁵⁾ : Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards ⁽⁶⁾ (\$ / Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards ⁽⁷⁾ (\$)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
Blake D. Moret	Incentive Compensation	12/6/2016	0	1,140,000	2,280,000							
	Performance Shares	12/6/2016				0	8,560	17,120			1,492,607	
	Restricted Shares	12/6/2016							3,850		525,140	
	Stock Options	12/6/2016								62,400	136.40	1,576,224
Patrick P. Goris	Incentive Compensation	12/6/2016	0	285,000	570,000							
	Performance Shares	12/6/2016				0	420	840			73,235	
	Restricted Shares	12/6/2016							190		25,916	
	Shares	2/7/2017							960		143,434	
	Stock Options	12/6/2016 2/7/2017								3,100 28,400	136.40 149.41	78,306 808,264
Sujeet Chand	Incentive Compensation	12/6/2016	0	336,375	672,750							
	Performance Shares	12/6/2016				0	2,010	4,020			350,484	
	Restricted Shares	12/6/2016							910		124,124	
	Stock Options	12/6/2016								14,700	136.40	371,322
Theodore D. Crandall	Incentive Compensation	12/6/2016	0	459,200	918,400							
	Performance Shares	12/6/2016				0	3,180	6,360			554,497	
	Restricted Shares	12/6/2016							1,430		195,052	
	Stock Options	12/6/2016								23,200	136.40	586,032
Frank C. Kulaszewicz	Incentive Compensation	12/6/2016	0	436,800	873,600							
	Performance Shares	12/6/2016				0	3,180	6,360			554,497	
	Restricted Shares	12/6/2016							1,430		195,052	
	Stock Options	12/6/2016								23,200	136.40	586,032
	John P. McDermott	Incentive Compensation	12/6/2016	0	339,875	679,750						
Performance Shares	12/6/2016				0	1,960	3,920				341,765	
Restricted Shares	12/6/2016							880		120,032		
Stock Options	12/6/2016								14,300	136.40	361,218	

⁽¹⁾ These columns show the potential value of the cash payout for each named executive officer under the ICP for fiscal 2017 if the threshold, target and maximum goals are met. For each named executive officer, an incentive compensation target equal to a percentage of the individual's base salary is set at the beginning of the year. Mr. Goris' ICP target as a percentage of base salary increased from 40% to 70% effective February 7, 2017 upon promotion to Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Amounts shown are based on base salary at September 30, 2017 for Messrs. Moret, Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott.

Actual incentive compensation payments under the plan may be higher or lower than the target based on financial, operating and individual performance. The Compensation Committee has discretion to change the amount of any award irrespective of whether the measures are met. Incentive compensation payments under the Senior ICP may not exceed 1% of our applicable net earnings (as defined in the plan). However, consistent with our other ICP participants, payouts are capped at twice the individual's ICP target.

- ⁽²⁾ These columns show the threshold, target and maximum payouts under performance shares awarded during fiscal year 2017. The payout in respect of these performance shares will be made in shares of our common stock and/or cash in an amount determined based on the total shareholder return of our common stock, assuming reinvestment of all dividends, compared to the performance of companies in the S&P 500 Index for the period from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2019, if the individual continues as an employee until the third anniversary of the grant date (subject to provisions relating to the grantee's death, disability or retirement or a change of control of the Company). The payouts will be at zero, the target amount and the maximum amount if our shareholder return is equal to or less than the 30th percentile, equal to the 60th percentile and equal to or greater than the 75th percentile of the total shareholder return of companies in the S&P 500 Index, respectively, over the applicable three-year period, with the payout interpolated for results between those percentiles. We use the 20-trading day average trading price of our common stock ending September 30 to determine the starting price and the final TSR. The potential value of a payout will fluctuate with the market value of our common stock.
- ⁽³⁾ In fiscal 2017, annual equity grants were made to all NEOs at the Compensation Committee meeting on December 6, 2016 and to Mr. Goris on February 7, 2017 upon his promotion to Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.
- ⁽⁴⁾ This column shows the number of shares of restricted stock granted in fiscal 2017 to the named executive officers. The restricted stock vests three years from the grant dates, provided the individual is still employed by the Company on that date. Restricted stock owners are entitled to any cash dividends paid, but are not entitled to any dividends paid in shares until the restricted shares vest. Cash dividends are paid at the Company's regular dividend rate. The grant date fair value of the awards granted on December 6, 2016 and February 7, 2017 were \$136.40 and \$149.41, respectively, per share computed in accordance with U.S. GAAP and the assumptions set forth in Note 10, Share-Based Compensation, to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017.
- ⁽⁵⁾ This column shows the number of stock options granted in fiscal 2017 to the named executive officers under our 2012 Long-Term Incentives Plan. The options vest and become exercisable in three substantially equal installments beginning one year after the grant date. The grant date fair value of the awards granted on December 6, 2016 and February 7, 2017 computed in accordance with U.S. GAAP were \$25.26 and \$28.46 per share, respectively. This amount was calculated using the Black-Scholes pricing model and the assumptions set forth in Note 10, Share-Based Compensation, to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017.
- ⁽⁶⁾ This column shows the exercise price for stock options granted, which was the closing price of our common stock on December 6, 2016 and February 7, 2017, the grant dates of the options.
- ⁽⁷⁾ This column shows the aggregate grant date fair value of the performance share awards at target, which was based on \$174.37 per share computed in accordance with U.S. GAAP and the assumptions set forth in Note 10, Share-Based Compensation, to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017. The aggregate grant date fair value of the performance share awards at two times the target number of shares was \$2,985,214, \$146,470, \$700,968, \$1,108,994, \$1,108,994 and \$683,530 for Messrs. Moret, Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott, respectively.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table

The following table provides information about equity awards made to the named executive officers that are outstanding as of September 30, 2017.

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾					Stock Awards			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested ⁽²⁾ (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ⁽⁴⁾ (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ⁽³⁾ (\$)
Blake D. Moret	12/6/2016		62,400		136.40	12/6/2026	3,850	686,109	8,560	1,525,478
	7/1/2016	8,133	16,267		115.89	7/1/2026	910	162,171	—	—
	12/3/2015	9,200	18,400		104.08	12/3/2025	1,880	335,035	5,770	1,028,272
	12/2/2014	16,266	8,134		115.69	12/2/2024	1,410	251,276	5,130	914,217
	12/4/2013	17,800			108.89	12/4/2023				
	12/6/2012	21,900			80.11	12/6/2022				
	12/1/2011	18,200			74.14	12/1/2021				
	4/1/2011	9,000			97.00	4/1/2021				
Patrick P. Goris	2/7/2017		28,400		149.41	2/7/2027	960	171,082	—	—
	12/6/2016		3,100		136.40	12/6/2026	190	33,860	420	74,848
	12/3/2015	1,233	2,467		104.08	12/3/2025	250	44,553	760	135,440
	12/2/2014	2,200	1,100		115.69	12/2/2024	190	33,860	700	124,747
	12/4/2013	2,600			108.89	12/4/2023				
	12/6/2012	3,400			80.11	12/6/2022				
	12/1/2011	4,900			74.14	12/1/2021				
Sujeet Chand	12/6/2016		14,700		136.40	12/6/2026	910	162,171	2,010	358,202
	12/3/2015	5,666	11,334		104.08	12/3/2025	1,160	206,724	3,550	632,646
	12/2/2014	10,066	5,034		115.69	12/2/2024	870	155,043	3,160	563,144
	12/4/2013	11,900			108.89	12/4/2023				
	12/6/2012	14,000			80.11	12/6/2022				
	12/1/2011	6,200			74.14	12/1/2021				
Theodore D. Crandall	12/6/2016		23,200		136.40	12/6/2026	1,430	254,840	3,180	566,708
	12/3/2015	8,900	18,400		104.08	12/3/2025	1,880	335,035	5,770	1,028,272
	12/2/2014	15,733	8,134		115.69	12/2/2024	1,410	251,276	5,130	914,217
Frank C. Kulaszewicz	12/6/2016		23,200		136.40	12/6/2026	1,430	254,840	3,180	566,708
	12/3/2015	300	18,400		104.08	12/2/2025	1,880	335,035	5,770	1,028,272
	12/2/2014	16,266	8,134		115.69	12/2/2024	1,410	251,276	5,130	914,217
	12/4/2013	9,800			108.89	12/4/2023				
	12/6/2012	1,200			80.11	12/6/2022				
John P. McDermott	12/6/2016		14,300		136.40	12/6/2026	880	156,825	1,960	349,292
	12/3/2015	5,666	11,334		104.08	12/3/2025	1,160	206,724	3,550	632,646
	12/2/2014	10,066	5,034		115.69	12/2/2024	870	155,043	3,160	563,144
	12/4/2013	11,000			108.89	12/4/2023				

⁽¹⁾ All options vest 1/3 per year beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date (subject to provisions related to the grantee's death, retirement or a change of control).

⁽²⁾ All restricted stock vests in full on the third anniversary of the grant date (subject to provisions related to the grantee's death, retirement or a change of control).

⁽³⁾ The market value of the stock awards is based on the closing market price of our common stock as of September 30, 2017, which was \$178.21.

⁽⁴⁾ This column shows the target number of performance shares outstanding. The payout can be from 0 to 200% of the target as described in footnote 2 to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table. All performance shares will vest and be paid out on the third anniversary of the grant date (subject to provisions relating to the grantee's death, disability or retirement or a change of control). The performance shares awarded on December 2, 2014 were earned at 187% of target. The Compensation Committee approved at its October 2017 meeting the payout of such performance shares in shares of our common stock, which resulted in the following number of shares being delivered to the named executive officers:

**Shares of Common Stock Delivered in
Respect of Performance Shares Awarded
on December 2, 2014 and Vested on
December 2, 2017**

Name	
Blake D. Moret	9,594
Patrick P. Goris	1,309
Sujeet Chand	5,910
Theodore D. Crandall	9,594
Frank C. Kulaszewicz	9,594
John P. McDermott	5,910

Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table

The following table provides additional information about stock option exercises and shares acquired upon the vesting of stock awards, including the value realized, during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017 by the named executive officers.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise ⁽¹⁾ (#)	Value Realized on Exercise ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting ⁽²⁾ (\$)
Blake D. Moret	7,400	743,836	1,770	242,118
Patrick P. Goris	3,400	219,294	1,456	176,090
Sujeet Chand	52,900	4,337,006	1,180	161,412
Theodore D. Crandall	99,533	6,673,120	1,770	242,118
Frank C. Kulaszewicz	23,700	1,636,982	1,770	242,118
John P. McDermott	35,900	2,645,223	1,180	161,412

⁽¹⁾ Messrs. Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz and McDermott retained 1,400, 5,633, 1,300 and 2,100 shares, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Based on the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on the exercise date or vesting date, as applicable.

Pension Benefits Table

The following table shows the present value of accumulated benefits as of September 30, 2017 payable to the named executive officers under the Rockwell Automation Pension (Qualified) Plan and Rockwell Automation Pension (Non-Qualified) Plan based on the assumptions described in Footnote 1 to this table.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Blake D. Moret	Rockwell Automation Pension (Qualified) Plan	33	1,210,285	—
	Rockwell Automation Pension (Non-Qualified) Plan	33	2,907,757	—
Patrick P. Goris	Rockwell Automation Pension (Qualified) Plan	12	328,839	—
	Rockwell Automation Pension (Non-Qualified) Plan	12	256,032	—
Sujeet Chand	Rockwell Automation Pension (Qualified) Plan	32	1,334,411	—
	Rockwell Automation Pension (Non-Qualified) Plan	32	3,478,939	—
Theodore D. Crandall ⁽²⁾	Rockwell Automation Pension (Qualified) Plan	31	1,487,814	—
	Rockwell Automation Pension (Non-Qualified) Plan	31	5,293,204	—
Frank C. Kulaszewicz	Rockwell Automation Pension (Qualified) Plan	32	1,129,519	—
	Rockwell Automation Pension (Non-Qualified) Plan	32	2,622,730	—
John P. McDermott	Rockwell Automation Pension (Qualified) Plan	37	1,628,272	—
	Rockwell Automation Pension (Non-Qualified) Plan	37	4,195,840	—

⁽¹⁾ These amounts have been determined using the assumptions set forth in Note 11, Retirement Benefits, to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, and represent the accumulated benefit obligation for benefits earned to date, based on age, service and earnings through the measurement date of September 30, 2017.

⁽²⁾ Mr. Crandall is eligible to participate in our Supplemental Retirement Plan for Certain Senior Executives, which is a closed plan. Participants are eligible for this benefit at Normal Retirement, if eligible for Disability pension benefits as described below, or if permitted to retire early by action of the President or CEO if such individual also commences early retirement at that time under the Qualified Pension Plan. If eligible, the September 30, 2017 present value of benefits from this plan would be \$232,803 for Mr. Crandall.

The named executive officers participate in two pension plans with the same requirements/benefits as other employees: the Rockwell Automation Pension Plan (the Qualified Pension Plan), which is qualified under the Internal Revenue Code, and the Rockwell Automation Non-Qualified Pension Plan (the Non-Qualified Pension Plan), which is an unfunded, non-tax-qualified plan. The Qualified Pension Plan provides retirement benefits to nearly all U.S. employees of the Company hired before July 1, 2010. The Qualified Pension Plan and the Non-Qualified Pension Plan were closed to entrants hired or re-hired on or after July 1, 2010. In place of becoming a participant in the Qualified Pension Plan and, if applicable, the Non-Qualified

Pension plan, employees hired or re-hired on or after July 1, 2010, will be eligible for a non-elective contribution (the "NEC") in the Qualified and, if applicable, Non-Qualified Savings Plan. The NEC is based on a combination of age and service and the percentage contribution is outlined in the Non-Qualified Savings Plan section below. The NEC formula is the same for both the Qualified Savings Plan and the Non-Qualified Savings Plan.

The Non-Qualified Pension Plan provides benefits that may not be paid from the Qualified Pension Plan due to limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code on qualified plan benefits. Non-Qualified

Pension Plan benefits are provided to any U.S. salaried employee whose benefits are affected by these limits. Our policy with respect to funding our pension obligations is to fund at least the minimum amount required by applicable laws and governmental regulations. We maintain a rabbi trust for our non-qualified plans, including the Non-Qualified Pension Plan, which we will fund in the event there is a change of control of the Company.

Effective January 1, 2011, the pension plans were amended to allow participants to elect a lump sum payment instead of an annuity option offered under the plans. The present values in the above table are determined based on assumptions required by SEC rules, which are different from those used to calculate the lump sum payment under

the plans. Note that due to Internal Revenue Code Section 409A regulations, if a named executive officer elected to receive his benefit from the Non-Qualified Plan in the form of a lump sum, he would not be eligible to receive the lump sum payment for at least five years.

For employees hired before July 1, 2010, benefits provided by both the Qualified Pension Plan and the Non-Qualified Pension Plan have the same requirements for vesting, which occurs at five years of service. Benefits in both plans are determined using the same formula. Named executive officers do not receive any additional service or other enhancements in determining the form, timing or amount of their benefits.

Normal Retirement Benefits

- Normal retirement benefits are payable at age 65 with five years of service.

Early Retirement with Reduced Benefits

- Reduced early retirement benefits after 10 years of service are payable at the earlier of either:
 - age 55 or older; or
 - 75 or more points (age plus credited service equals or exceeds 75).

The reduction for early retirement benefits is determined using an actuarial equivalence with an applicable interest rate and mortality table. Currently, Messrs. Moret, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and

McDermott have met the eligibility requirements for early retirement with a reduced benefit.

- An optional early distribution was added to the Qualified Pension Plan starting January 1, 2014, for those who do not meet early or normal retirement eligibility described above. The reduction in benefits is determined using an actuarial equivalence with the applicable interest rate and mortality table as used for lump sum calculations.

Pension Plan Formula

- Pension plan benefits are payable beginning at a named executive officer's normal retirement date and are determined by the following formula:
 - Two-thirds (66 2/3%) of the participant's average monthly earnings up to \$1,666.67;
 - Multiplied by a fraction, not to exceed 1.00, the numerator of which is the participant's years of credited service, including fractional years, and the denominator of which is thirty-five (35);
 - Plus 1.50% of the participant's average monthly earnings in excess of \$1,666.67 times the participant's years of credited service, including fractional years, up to a maximum of thirty-five (35) years;
 - Plus 1.25% of the participant's average monthly earnings in excess of \$1,666.67 times the participant's years of credited service, including fractional years, in excess of thirty-five (35) years;
 - Less 50% of primary Social Security benefit times a fraction not to exceed 1.00, the numerator of which is the participant's years of credited service, including fractional years, and the denominator of which is thirty-five (35).

Average monthly earnings represent the monthly average of the participant's pensionable earnings for the highest five calendar years during the last 10 calendar years while the participant was actively employed. A participant's earnings used for calculating pension plan benefits (pensionable earnings) include base salary and annual incentive compensation awards. Awards of stock options, restricted stock, performance shares and performance-based long-term cash awards, and all other cash awards are not considered when determining pension benefits.

Disability Pension Benefits

Disability pension benefits are available under the Qualified Pension Plan and the Non-Qualified Pension Plan to active employees before age 65 upon total and permanent disability if the participant has at least 15 years of credited service or at least 10 years of credited service with 70 points or more (age plus credited service is equal to or greater than 70). The benefit is generally calculated in the same manner as the normal retirement benefit.

Pension Benefits Payable to Beneficiaries Upon Death of a Participant

- Pension benefits under the Qualified Pension Plan and the Non-Qualified Pension Plan are payable to the participant's beneficiaries upon the death of the participant.
- The surviving spouse will receive a monthly lifetime benefit calculated as if the participant retired and elected the 50% surviving spouse option.
- If the participant dies after starting to receive benefits, the benefit payments are processed in accordance with the benefit option selected.
- If the retiree has started monthly pension benefit payments, the beneficiary is eligible for a lump-sum death benefit equal to \$150 per year of credited service up to \$5,250.
- If the participant elects the lump sum payment option and the lump sum payment is made, no further benefits are provided to the beneficiary or surviving spouse upon death of the participant.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

The following table provides information on our non-qualified defined contribution and other non-qualified deferred compensation plans in which all eligible U.S. salaried employees, including the named executive officers, participate, which consist of the following:

Rockwell Automation Non-Qualified Savings Plan [the Non-Qualified Savings Plan]

Our U.S. employees, including the named executive officers, whose earnings exceed certain applicable federal limitations on compensation that may be recognized under our Qualified Savings Plan, are entitled to defer earnings on a pre-tax basis to the Non-Qualified Savings Plan. Company matching contributions that cannot be made to the Qualified Savings Plan due to applicable federal tax limits are also made to the Non-Qualified Savings Plan. Under the Qualified Savings Plan, we match half up to 6% of the employee's eligible earnings contributed to the Plan each pay period, subject to a maximum amount of earnings under applicable federal tax regulations. Earnings under the Non-Qualified Savings Plan are credited to participant accounts on a daily basis in the same manner as under the Qualified Savings Plan. Investment options are selected by the participant, may be changed daily, and include the same fund and

Company stock investments that are offered by the Qualified Savings Plan. No preferential interest or earnings are provided under the Non-Qualified Savings Plan. Account balances under the Non-Qualified Savings Plan are distributed in a lump-sum cash payment within 60 days after the end of the month occurring six months, or five years if elected, after the employee terminates employment or retires.

In addition to the Company matching contributions, a non-elective contribution (NEC) is provided for employees hired or rehired on or after July 1, 2010. If employed on the last day of the year, eligible employees receive an annual NEC benefit equal to eligible pay multiplied by a percentage based on "points", which equal the sum of age and years of service as of each December 31 and based on the following chart. The NEC is provided by the end of the first quarter of the following year.

Total Points (Age + Years of Service as of 12/31)	Percentage of Pay Contributed as NEC
<40	3.00%
40-59	4.00%
60-79	5.00%
80+	7.00%

All NEOs were hired before July 1, 2010 and are not eligible for NEC.

Current Rockwell Automation Deferred Compensation Plan (the Deferred Compensation Plan)

Our U.S. salaried employees in career band E, including the named executive officers, may elect annually to defer up to 50% of base salary and up to 100% of their annual incentive compensation award to the Deferred Compensation Plan.

Matching. For participants who defer base salary to the plan, we provide a matching contribution equal to what we would have contributed to the Qualified Savings Plan or Non-Qualified Savings Plan for the deferred amounts.

Distribution Elections

- *For contributions before 2005.* Participants could opt to receive the deferred amounts on a specific date, at retirement, or in installments up to 15 years following retirement. Participants may make a one-time change of distribution election or timing (at least one year before payments would otherwise begin).
- *Contributions after January 1, 2005.* Participants may elect either a lump-sum distribution at termination of employment or installment distributions for up to 15 years following retirement.

Participants may make a one-time change of the distribution election or timing (at least one year before payments would otherwise begin), provided that the changed distribution cannot begin until five years after the original distribution date.

Timing of Distributions

- *For contributions before 2005.* We make distributions within the first 60 days of a calendar year.
- *For contributions after January 1, 2005.* We make distributions beginning in July of the year following termination or retirement. Ongoing installment payments are made in February of each year.

Earnings on deferrals. Participants select investment measurement options, including hypothetical fund investments that correspond to those offered by the Qualified Savings Plan, excluding the Company's stock. Investment measurement options may be changed daily. Earnings are credited to participant accounts on a daily basis in the same manner as under the Qualified Savings Plan. No preferential interest or earnings are provided under the Deferred Compensation Plan.

Prior Rockwell Automation Deferred Compensation Plan (the Old Plan)

Of the named executive officers, only Mr. Crandall participates in the Old Plan, which is a closed plan. Participants were only permitted to defer incentive compensation to this plan. Distributions are made annually in January; however, if a participant is considered a "key employee" under the terms of the Internal Revenue Code, there may be a six-month delay in the commencement of distributions. The plan provides an interest rate that is one-twelfth of the annual interest rate for quarterly compounding that is 120% of the applicable Federal

long-term monthly rate for the three-month period ending on the last day of each calendar year quarter. The interest is applied to participant accounts quarterly on the last business day of the quarter.

We maintain a rabbi trust for our non-qualified plans, including the Non-Qualified Savings Plan and deferred compensation plans, which we will fund in the event there is a change of control of the Company.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table

Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)
Blake D. Moret	41,321	20,661	41,273	—	277,476
Patrick P. Goris	25,948	4,865	34,291	—	169,909
Sujeet Chand	43,393	8,136	202,841	—	1,960,606
Theodore D. Crandall	31,172	11,690	232,117	—	1,621,297
Frank C. Kulaszewicz	32,570	10,602	33,972	—	212,790
John P. McDermott	33,197	8,299	43,428	—	764,886

⁽¹⁾ These amounts include contributions made by each named executive officer to the Non-Qualified Savings Plan. These amounts are also reported in the "Salary" column in the Summary Compensation Table.

⁽²⁾ These amounts represent Company matching contributions for each named executive officer under the Non-Qualified Savings Plan. These amounts are also reported in the "All Other Compensation" column in the Summary Compensation Table and as part of the "Value of Company Contributions to Savings Plans" column in the All Other Compensation Table.

⁽³⁾ These amounts include earnings (losses), dividends and interest provided on current contributions and existing balances, including the change in value of the underlying investment options in which the named executive officer is deemed to be invested. These amounts are not reported in the Summary Compensation Table as compensation.

⁽⁴⁾ These amounts represent each named executive officer's aggregate balance in the Non-Qualified Savings Plan, and for Messrs. Chand and Crandall in the Deferred Compensation Plan and for Mr. Crandall in the "Old" Deferred Compensation Plan, in each case at September 30, 2017. The numbers also include the contributions made by each named executive officer to the Non-Qualified Savings Plan and Deferred Compensation Plan, which are also reported in the "Salary" column of the Summary Compensation Table, and the Company matching contributions, which are also reported in the "All Other Compensation" column in the Summary Compensation Table for each fiscal year. The amounts included in the Summary Compensation Table for fiscal 2015 for Messrs. Moret, Crandall, and Kulaszewicz are \$29,945, \$41,430, and \$25,451, respectively; and for fiscal 2016 for Messrs. Moret, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott are \$40,649, \$44,743, \$36,678, and \$43,568, respectively; and for fiscal 2017 for Messrs. Moret, Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott are \$61,982, \$30,813, \$51,529, \$42,862, \$43,172, and \$41,496, respectively.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

The tables and narrative below describe and quantify compensation that would become payable to the named executive officers under existing plans and arrangements if the named executive officer's employment had terminated on September 30, 2017 for the reasons set forth below. We do not have employment agreements with the named executive officers, but do have change of control agreements with Messrs. Moret, Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott and certain other officers. There are two main purposes of these agreements.

1. They provide protection for the executive officers who would negotiate any potential acquisitions of the Company, thus encouraging them to negotiate a good outcome for shareowners, without concern that their negotiating stance will put at risk their financial situation immediately after an acquisition.
2. The agreements seek to ensure continuity of business operations during times of potential uncertainty, by removing the incentive to seek other employment in anticipation of a possible change of control.

In short, the change of control agreements seek to ensure that we may rely on key executives to continue to manage our business consistent with our best interests despite concerns for personal risks. We do not believe these agreements encourage our executives to favor or oppose a change of control. We believe these agreements strike a balance that the amounts are neither so low to cause an executive to oppose a change of control nor so high as to cause an executive to favor a change of control. In addition, in the past we at times have entered into severance arrangements with executive officers upon termination of their employment, with the terms and conditions depending on the individual circumstances of the termination, the transition role we expect from the officer and our best interests. The information set forth below does not include payments and benefits to the extent they are provided on a non-discriminatory basis to salaried employees upon termination of employment, including unused vacation pay, distributions of balances under savings and deferred compensation plans and accrued pension benefits. The information set forth below also does not include any payments and benefits that may be provided under severance arrangements that may be entered into with any named executive officer upon termination of their employment.

We have change of control agreements with Mr. Moret and each of the other named executive officers and certain other officers. These agreements become effective if there is a change of control on or before September 30, 2019. Each agreement provides for the continuing employment of the executive for two years after the change of control on conditions no less favorable than those in effect before the change of control. If the executive's employment is terminated by us without "cause" or if the executive terminates his employment for "good reason" within that two year period, each agreement entitles the executive to:

- severance benefits payable as a lump sum equal to two times (three times in the case of Mr. Moret) his annual compensation, including target ICP;
- annual ICP payment prorated through the date of termination payable as a lump sum, based upon the average of the previous three years' ICP payments; and
- continuation of other benefits and perquisites for two years (three years in the case of Mr. Moret).

The agreements do not include a provision that entitles the executives to receive tax gross-ups related to any excise tax imposed on change of control agreements. In each change of control agreement, the executive agreed to certain confidentiality provisions.

Under the change of control agreements, a change of control would include any of the following events:

- any "person", as defined in Section 13(d)(3) or 14(d)(2) of the Exchange Act, acquires 20 percent or more of our outstanding voting securities;
- a majority of our directors are replaced by persons who are not endorsed by a majority of our directors;
- we are involved in a reorganization, merger, sale of assets or other business combination that results in our shareowners owning 50% or less of our outstanding shares or the outstanding shares of the resulting entity; or
- shareowners approve a liquidation or dissolution of the Company.

The following table provides details with respect to potential post-employment payments to the named executive officers under our change of control agreements in the event of separation due to a change of control of the Company, assuming a termination covered by the change of control agreement occurred on September 30, 2017.

Name	Cash (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Equity (\$) ⁽²⁾	Pension/ NQDC (\$)	Perquisites/ Benefits (\$) ⁽³⁾	Reimbursement	Tax (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Other (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Total (\$)
Blake D. Moret	6,884,800	10,397,791	0	47,412	0	0	100,000	17,430,003
Patrick P. Goris	1,688,869	1,817,571	0	31,608	0	0	100,000	3,638,048
Sujeet Chand	1,990,017	3,847,450	0	29,158	0	0	100,000	5,966,625
Theodore D. Crandall	2,517,433	6,192,870	0	31,608	0	0	100,000	8,841,911
Frank C. Kulaszewicz	2,405,367	6,192,870	0	31,608	0	0	100,000	8,729,845
John P. McDermott	1,980,950	3,816,470	0	31,608	0	0	100,000	5,929,028

⁽¹⁾ This column includes the severance value, which is base salary plus target annual ICP multiplied by three for Mr. Moret, and multiplied by two for Messrs. Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott. In the year of termination, the executive is also entitled to receive a prorated ICP payout based on the average of the previous three years' ICP payment (fiscal years 2015, 2016 and 2017). These amounts are \$614,800, \$168,869, \$240,867, \$287,033, \$283,767 and \$213,600 for Messrs. Moret, Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Upon a change of control of the Company and, in the case of awards granted after February 2, 2010, if (1) the executive's awards are assumed or substituted with comparable awards by the surviving company in the change of control and such executive's employment is terminated within two years of the change of control for certain specified reasons or (2) the executive's awards are not assumed or substituted with comparable awards by the surviving company in the change of control, all outstanding stock options would become fully exercisable; the restrictions on all shares of restricted stock would lapse; and grantees of performance shares would be entitled to a performance share payout equal to 100% of the target shares. The following represents the value of unvested equity awards had a change of control occurred on September 30, 2017, using the fiscal year end price of \$178.21.

Name	Unvested Stock Options (\$)	Unvested Restricted Stock (\$)	Performance Shares (\$)
Blake D. Moret	5,495,233	1,434,591	3,467,967
Patrick Goris	1,199,132	283,354	335,035
Sujeet Chand	1,769,522	523,937	1,553,991
Theodore D. Crandall	2,842,522	841,151	2,509,197
Frank C. Kulaszewicz	2,842,522	841,151	2,509,197
John P. McDermott	1,752,798	518,591	1,545,081

⁽³⁾ Amounts include healthcare program subsidies provided to all employees and amounts received for personal liability insurance.

⁽⁴⁾ Agreements do not include a provision that entitles the executives to receive tax gross-ups related to any excise tax imposed on change of control agreements.

⁽⁵⁾ Estimated value of outplacement services.

The following table sets forth the treatment of equity-based awards upon termination of employment for the following reasons:

Reason	Options	Restricted Stock	Performance Shares ⁽⁵⁾
Voluntary — Other than retirement ⁽¹⁾	<i>Vested</i> — can be exercised until the earlier of (i) three months after last date on payroll or (ii) the date the option expires <i>Unvested</i> — forfeited	Unearned shares forfeited	Unearned shares forfeited
Voluntary — Retirement ⁽²⁾	If retirement occurs 12 months or more after grant date, unvested options continue to vest; otherwise all unvested options are forfeited. Vested options can be exercised until the earlier of (i) five years after retirement or (ii) the date the option expires	If retirement occurs 12 months or more after grant date and before the end of the restriction period, pro rata shares earned at retirement. If retirement occurs before 12 months after the grant date, all unearned shares forfeited	If retirement occurs 12 months or more after grant date and before the end of the performance period, pro rata shares earned at the end of the performance period. If retirement occurs before 12 months after the grant date, all unearned shares forfeited
Involuntary — Cause ⁽¹⁾	<i>Vested</i> — forfeited <i>Unvested</i> — forfeited	Unearned shares forfeited	Unearned shares forfeited
Involuntary — Not for cause ⁽¹⁾	<i>Vested</i> — can be exercised until the earlier of (i) three months after last date on payroll or (ii) the date the option expires <i>Unvested</i> — continue to vest during salary continuation period; if vesting occurs in that period, can be exercised until the earlier of (i) three months after last date on payroll or (ii) the date the option expires; remaining unvested options forfeited	Unearned shares forfeited	Unearned shares forfeited
Death ⁽³⁾	All options vest immediately and can be exercised until the earlier of (i) three years after death or (ii) the date the option expires	All restrictions lapse	Shares earned on a pro rata basis at the end of the performance period
Disability ⁽⁴⁾	<i>Vested</i> — can be exercised until the earlier of (i) three months after the employee's last date on payroll or (ii) the date the option expires <i>Unvested</i> — continue to vest during salary continuation period; if vesting occurs in that period, can be exercised until the earlier of (i) three months after last date on payroll or (ii) the date the option expires; remaining unvested options forfeited	If disability continues for more than six months, all restrictions lapse	If disability continues for more than six months, pro rata shares earned at the end of the performance period

⁽¹⁾ Assuming a termination as of September 30, 2017, the NEOs would not receive any additional equity value in connection with voluntary terminations (other than retirement) or involuntary terminations (whether or not for cause).

⁽²⁾ The value of the prorated restricted stock that is vested on an accelerated basis assuming a retirement as of September 30, 2017 for Messrs. Moret, Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott would be \$695,197, \$105,500, \$316,145, \$510,393, \$510,393 and \$314,719, respectively.

⁽³⁾ The value of the unvested stock options and restricted stock that are vested on an accelerated basis assuming a termination as a result of death as of September 30, 2017 for Messrs. Moret, Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott would be \$6,929,824, \$1,482,536, \$2,293,459, \$3,683,673, \$3,683,673 and \$2,271,389, respectively.

⁽⁴⁾ The value of the unvested restricted stock that is vested on an accelerated basis assuming a termination as a result of disability as of September 30, 2017 for Messrs. Moret, Goris, Chand, Crandall, Kulaszewicz, and McDermott would be \$1,434,591, \$283,354, \$523,937, \$841,151, \$841,151 and \$518,591, respectively.

⁽⁵⁾ In the case of assumed terminations for retirement, death or disability as of September 30, 2017, the value of the vesting of pro rata performance shares is not determinable in such instances as the payout will be determined at the end of the applicable performance period.

AUDIT MATTERS

Proposal to Approve the Selection of Independent Registered-Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the Company's independent auditor. The Audit Committee annually evaluates the qualifications, performance and independence of the Company's independent auditor and considers whether there should be a change of the independent audit firm and potential impact of making a change. The Audit Committee reviews all non-audit services that the independent auditor may provide and conducts regular private sessions with the independent auditor.

The Audit Committee annually reviews and evaluates the lead audit partner and is involved in the process of the independent audit firm's selection of a new lead audit partner when rotation is required after 5 years under the SEC's audit partner rotation rules. The selection process includes a meeting between the Chair of the Audit Committee and the candidate for lead audit partner as well as discussion by the full Committee and with management.

Company policy generally restricts the hiring of certain individuals who have been employed by the independent auditor until after a two year "cooling off" period, which is more restrictive than regulatory requirements. We understand the need to maintain the independence of the Company's independent auditor both in appearance and in fact.

The Audit Committee has selected the firm of Deloitte & Touche LLP (D&T) as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2018 (the D&T appointment), subject to the approval of the shareowners. D&T and its predecessors have acted as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company and its predecessors since 1934.

Before the Audit Committee selected D&T as its auditors for fiscal 2018, it carefully considered the independence and qualifications of that firm, including their performance in prior years, their tenure as our independent auditors, the appropriateness of their fees, and their reputation for integrity and for competence in the fields of accounting and auditing. Based on this evaluation, the Committee believes it is in the best interests of the Company and its shareowners for D&T to continue as its independent auditors for fiscal 2018.

We expect that representatives of D&T will attend the Annual Meeting to answer appropriate questions and make a statement if they desire to do so.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote "FOR" the proposal to approve the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm.

Audit Fees

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees for services provided by D&T for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (in millions), all of which were approved by the Audit Committee:

	Year Ended	
	September 30,	
	2017	2016
Audit Fees		
Integrated Audit of Consolidated Financial Statements and Internal Control over Financial Reporting	\$3.80	\$3.68
Statutory Audits	1.58	1.67
Audit-Related Fees*	0.15	0.12
Tax Fees		
Compliance	0.18	0.00
All Other Fees**	0.01	0.01
TOTAL	\$5.72	\$5.48

* Audit-related services primarily relate to non-US employee benefit plan audits as well as to other compliance services.

** Other fees include a license for an accounting research tool and for 2016 review services for our conflict minerals certification report.

The Audit Committee considered and determined that the non-audit services provided by D&T were compatible with maintaining the firm's independence.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The Audit Committee is responsible for appointing, compensating and overseeing the work performed by D&T and audit services performed by other independent public accounting firms. The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit (including audit-related) services provided by D&T and others and permitted non-audit services provided by D&T in accordance with its pre-approval policies and procedures.

The Audit Committee annually approves the scope and fee estimates for the year-end audit of the Company, statutory audits and employee benefit plan audits for the next fiscal year. The Audit Committee receives reports from the Company's Chief Financial Officer and Controller on the appropriateness of the audit engagement fees and meets separately with management and the independent auditor to discuss and review the fees prior to engagement.

With respect to other permitted services to be performed by our independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee has adopted a policy pre-approving certain categories and specific

types of audit and non-audit services that may be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm on a fiscal year basis, subject to individual and aggregate monetary limits. The policy requires the Company's Controller or Chief Financial Officer to pre-approve the terms and conditions of any engagement under the policy. The Audit Committee must specifically approve any proposed engagement for an audit or non-audit service that does not meet the guidelines of the policy. The Audit Committee also authorized the Chair of the Committee to pre-approve any individual service not covered by the general pre-approval policy, with any such approval reported by the Chair at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Committee. The Audit Committee annually reviews and approves the categories of pre-approved services and monetary limits under the pre-approval policy. The Company's Controller reports to the Audit Committee regarding the aggregate fees charged by D&T and other public accounting firms compared to the pre-approved amounts, by category.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee assists the Board in overseeing and monitoring the integrity of the Company's financial reporting processes, its internal control and disclosure control systems, the integrity and audits of its financial statements, the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the qualifications and independence of its independent registered public accounting firm and the performance of its internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm.

Our Committee's roles and responsibilities are set forth in a written Charter adopted by the Board, which is available on the Company's website at <http://www.rockwellautomation.com> under the "Investors" link. We review and reassess the Charter annually, and more frequently as necessary to address any changes in NYSE corporate governance and SEC rules regarding audit committees, and recommend any changes to the Board for approval.

Management is responsible for the Company's financial statements and the reporting processes, including the system of internal control. Deloitte & Touche LLP (D&T), the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, is responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of those audited financial statements with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Our Committee is responsible for overseeing the Company's overall financial reporting processes. In fulfilling our responsibilities for the financial statements for fiscal year 2017, we:

- Reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017 and quarterly financial statements with management and D&T;
- Reviewed management's assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and D&T's report pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act;
- Discussed with D&T the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) Auditing Standard No. 16 "Communication with Audit Committees" and Rule 2-07 of SEC Regulation S-X relating to the conduct of the audit; and
- Received written disclosures and the letter from D&T regarding its independence as required by PCAOB Ethics and Independence Rule 3526. We also discussed with D&T its independence.

We reviewed and approved all audit and audit-related fees and services. For information on fees paid to D&T for each of the last two years, see the section entitled "Proposal to Approve the Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in this proxy statement.

We considered the non-audit services provided by D&T in fiscal year 2017 and determined that engaging D&T to provide those services is compatible with and does not impair D&T's independence.

In fulfilling our responsibilities, we met with the Company's General Auditor and D&T, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, the evaluations of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting. We considered the status of pending litigation, taxation matters and other areas of oversight relating to the financial reporting and audit processes that we determined appropriate. We discussed with management the Company's major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures, including the Company's risk assessment and risk management policies. We also met separately with the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Controller, General Counsel and Ombudsman.

Based on our review of the audited financial statements and the discussions and reports referred to above, we recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017 for filing with the SEC.

Audit Committee

James P. Keane, *Chair*
Lawrence D. Kingsley
Donald R. Parfet
Lisa A. Payne
Thomas W. Rosamilia
Patricia A. Watson

PROPOSAL TO APPROVE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

A proposal will be presented at the meeting asking shareowners to approve on an advisory basis the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this proxy statement.

Why You Should Approve our Executive Compensation Programs

Our compensation philosophy is designed to attract and retain executive talent and emphasize pay for performance, including the creation of shareowner value. Our compensation programs include base salary, annual incentive compensation, long-term incentives, defined benefit and defined contribution retirement plans and a limited perquisite package. We encourage shareowners to read the Executive Compensation section of this proxy statement, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) and compensation

tables, for a more detailed discussion of our compensation programs and policies. We believe our compensation programs and policies are appropriate and effective in implementing our compensation philosophy and in achieving our goals with the appropriate level of risk, and that they are aligned with shareowner interests and worthy of continued shareowner support.

We believe that shareowners should consider the following in determining whether to approve this proposal.

Compensation Program is Highly Aligned with Shareowner Value

A significant portion of our executives' compensation is directly linked to our performance and the creation of shareowner value because the majority of their Total Direct Compensation is in the form of performance-based annual and long-term incentive awards. Our long-term incentive awards consist of three vehicles: stock

options, performance shares and restricted stock. We believe this mix appropriately motivates long-term performance and rewards executives for both absolute gains in share price and relative performance related to total shareowner return compared to the aggregate performance of the S&P 500 Index.

Strong Pay-for-Performance Orientation

We maintain a consistent pay-for-performance approach to setting ICP targets and payouts over time have reflected this philosophy. The past five years illustrate the consistent application of this philosophy. ICP awards were above target in fiscal 2014 and 2017 because we exceeded some or all of our financial goals in those respective years.

For fiscal 2013 and 2015, we did not meet all the stretch financial goals set at the beginning of those years and ICP awards were below target. For fiscal 2016, our Adjusted EPS was less than the previous year's results so no ICP payout was awarded.

Alignment with Shareowner Interests

We seek to align our compensation programs with best practices that address shareowner interests.

- *No tax gross-ups* on personal liability insurance, the FICA tax due on the Company's matching contributions to non-qualified plans, and on excise tax imposed on change of control agreement benefits.
- *No employment contracts*: We do not have employment contracts with any of our named executive officers.

- *No repricing*: Our long-term incentives plan expressly prohibits repricing or exchanging equity awards.
- *No hedging or pledging of Rockwell Automation securities*.
- *Very limited perquisite package*: We offer very limited perquisites.

Compensation Program Has Appropriate Long-Term Orientation

Our compensation programs and policies have a long-term focus.

- *Minimum vesting for equity awards:* We encourage a long-term orientation by our executives by using minimum vesting of one-third per year over three years for options and three years for restricted stock and performance shares (one year for executives that elect retirement during the performance period).
- *Officers are subject to stock ownership requirements:* We have stock ownership requirements for officers that align the interests of officers with the interests of shareowners. The CEO must own

stock with a value of five times his base salary and each senior vice president must own stock with a value of three times his or her salary. These requirements must be met within five years of becoming an officer. If officers do not meet the ownership requirements, they may not sell shares and must retain the shares received (on a net after-tax and transaction cost basis) from any option exercises and restricted stock and performance share lapses.

Compensation Committee Stays Current on Best Practices

The Compensation Committee has engaged a compensation consultant, Willis Towers Watson, to provide independent advice on compensation trends and market information and to advise the Committee as it reviews and approves executive compensation matters pursuant to its Charter. In addition, Willis Towers Watson regularly updates our Board and the Compensation Committee on executive compensation emerging practices and trends.

Summary of Good Governance and Risk Mitigating Factors

- *Use of multiple balanced metrics:* We use multiple metrics in our ICP and multiple vehicles in our long-term incentives plan grants. The metrics in the ICP include an appropriate balance between corporate and business segment performance and between earnings, sales growth, and cash flow.
- *Limited ICP payouts:* The Committee has never used its discretion to adjust ICP awards over 200% of target, limiting excessive awards for short-term performance.
- *Balanced pay mix:* The mix of pay is balanced between annual and long-term, with an emphasis on long-term performance.
- *Multiple-year vesting of long-term incentives:* Long-term incentive awards do not fully vest until at least three years after the grant.
- *Stock ownership policy:* We require executives to own a significant amount of the Company's stock.
- *Third-party audits of financial performance:* The Committee uses audited financial results to determine payouts in our Senior ICP and performance share plan.
- *Use of claw-back provisions:* We entered into agreements with and have a recoupment policy covering Mr. Moret as President and CEO, Mr. Goris as CFO and Mr. Crandall as former CFO with respect to the reimbursement (or claw-back) for any incentive-or equity-based compensation if we are required to restate any financial statements due to a material non-compliance with any financial reporting requirement under the securities laws.

The following resolution will be submitted for a shareowner vote at the 2018 Annual Meeting:

"RESOLVED, that the shareowners of the Company approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers listed in the 2017 Summary Compensation Table included in the proxy statement for this meeting, as such compensation is disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K in this proxy statement under the section entitled "Executive Compensation", including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and other narrative executive compensation disclosures set forth under that section."

We are providing our shareowners with an advisory vote on our executive compensation as required pursuant to Section 14A of the Exchange Act. This advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers gives shareowners another mechanism to convey their views about our compensation programs and policies. Although your vote on executive compensation is not binding on the Company, the Board values the views of shareowners. The Board and Compensation Committee will review the results of the vote and take them into consideration in addressing future compensation policies and decisions.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote "FOR" the proposal to approve the compensation of our named executive officers.

STOCK OWNERSHIP INFORMATION

Ownership of Equity Securities of the Company

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table shows the beneficial ownership, reported to us as of November 3, 2017, of our common stock, including shares as to which a right to acquire ownership within 60 days exists, of each director, and each executive officer listed in the table on page 42 (named executive officers) and of these persons and other executive officers as a group. On November 3, 2017, we had outstanding 128,229,158 shares of our common stock.

Name	Beneficial Ownership on November 3, 2017			
	Shares of Common Stock ⁽¹⁾	Derivative Securities ⁽²⁾	Total Shares ⁽¹⁾	Percent of Class ⁽³⁾
Betty C. Alewine	21,096	—	21,096	—
J. Phillip Holloman	1,582 ⁽⁴⁾	—	1,582	—
Steven R. Kalmanson	9,147	—	9,147	—
James P. Keane	9,147	—	9,147	—
Lawrence D. Kingsley	6,551 ⁽⁴⁾	—	6,551	—
William T. McCormick, Jr.	9,621	—	9,621	—
Blake D. Moret	21,292 ^(5,6)	148,226	169,518	—
Keith D. Nosbusch	393,106	339,042	732,148	—
Donald R. Parfet	10,360 ⁽⁴⁾	—	10,360	—
Lisa A. Payne	3,549	—	3,549	—
Thomas W. Rosamilia	2,235	—	2,235	—
Patricia A. Watson	832	—	832	—
Sujeet Chand	47,481 ^(5,6)	69,342	116,823	—
Theodore D. Crandall	95,546 ^(5,6)	59,294	154,840	—
Patrick P. Goris	6,609 ^(5,6)	19,008	25,617	—
Frank C. Kulaszewicz	25,222 ^(5,6)	62,227	87,449	—
John P. McDermott	37,782 ^(5,6)	48,109	85,891	—
All of the above and other executive officers as a group (25 persons)	752,539 ^(4,5,6)	901,725	1,654,264	1.28%

⁽¹⁾ Each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares listed (either individually or with spouse). None of the listed shares are pledged.

⁽²⁾ Represents shares that may be acquired upon the exercise of outstanding stock options and settlement of performance shares within 60 days.

⁽³⁾ The shares owned by each person, and by the group, and the shares included in the number of shares outstanding have been adjusted, and the percentage of shares owned (where such percentage exceeds 1%) has been computed, in accordance with Rule 13d-3(d)(1) under the Exchange Act.

⁽⁴⁾ Does not include 7,298, 2,219 and 2,162 restricted stock units granted under the 2003 Directors Stock Plan as compensation for services as directors for Messrs. Holloman, Kingsley and Parfet, respectively.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes shares held under our savings plan. Does not include 405, 730, 64, 351, 46, 193 and 3,393 share equivalents for Messrs. Moret, Chand, Crandall, Goris, Kulaszewicz and McDermott, and the group, respectively, held under our non-qualified savings plan.

⁽⁶⁾ Includes 8,050, 2,940, 4,720, 1,590, 4,720 and 2,910 shares granted as restricted stock under our 2012 Long-Term Incentives Plan for Messrs. Moret, Chand, Crandall, Goris, Kulaszewicz and McDermott, respectively, and 35,820 shares granted as restricted stock for the group.

Certain Other Shareowners

Based on filings made under Sections 13(d) and 13(g) of the Exchange Act on or before December 11, 2017, the following table lists the persons who we believe beneficially owned more than 5% of our common stock as of such date.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class ⁽¹⁾
BlackRock, Inc. 55 East 52 nd Street New York, NY 10055	8,352,811 ⁽²⁾	6.50%
The Vanguard Group 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	8,231,184 ⁽³⁾	6.41%

⁽¹⁾ The percent of class owned has been computed in accordance with Rule 13d-3(d)(1) under the Exchange Act.

⁽²⁾ Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed by BlackRock, Inc. with the SEC on January 25, 2017. BlackRock and its named subsidiaries reported sole voting power for 7,182,757 shares, sole dispositive power for 8,348,431 shares, shared voting power for 4,380 shares, and shared dispositive power for 4,380 shares.

⁽³⁾ Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed by The Vanguard Group with the SEC on February 9, 2017. Vanguard reported sole voting power for 203,638 shares, sole dispositive power for 8,008,724 shares, shared voting power for 23,650 shares and shared dispositive power for 222,460 shares. According to the filing, Vanguard beneficially owns the shares as a registered investment adviser and through its subsidiaries as a result of serving as investment managers.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of our common stock, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of our common stock on Forms 3, 4 and 5 with the SEC and the NYSE.

Based on our review of the copies of such forms that we have received and written representations from certain reporting persons confirming that they were not required to file Forms 5 for specified fiscal years, we believe that all our officers, directors and greater than

ten percent beneficial owners complied with applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements during fiscal 2017, except that fifteen reports reporting nineteen transactions were filed late by Mr. Kingsley, which reports were due at various times during fiscal 2015 through 2017. The late-reported transactions were effected without Mr. Kingsley's knowledge by an investment advisor in managed accounts, and came to Mr. Kingsley's attention in fiscal 2018, which was too late to report on a timely basis.

OTHER INFORMATION

Supplemental Financial Information

This proxy statement contains information regarding Return On Invested Capital (ROIC), which is a non-GAAP financial measure. We believe that ROIC is useful to investors as a measure of performance and of the effectiveness of the use of capital in our operations. We use ROIC as one measure to monitor and evaluate performance, including as a financial measure for our annual incentive compensation. Our measure of ROIC may be different from that used by other companies. We define ROIC as the percentage resulting from the following calculation:

- (a) income from continuing operations, before interest expense, income tax provision, and purchase accounting depreciation and amortization, divided by;

- (b) average invested capital for the year, calculated as a five quarter rolling average using the sum of short-term debt, long-term debt, shareowners' equity, and accumulated amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets, minus cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments, multiplied by;
- (c) one minus the effective tax rate for the period.

ROIC is calculated as follows (in millions, except percentages):

	Year Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
(a) Return		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 825.7	\$ 729.7
Interest expense	76.2	71.3
Income tax provision	211.7	213.4
Purchase accounting depreciation and amortization	21.4	18.4
Return	1,135.0	1,032.8
(b) Average Invested Capital		
Short-term debt	585.9	248.2
Long-term debt	1,296.9	1,509.0
Shareowners' equity	2,215.8	2,164.1
Accumulated amortization of goodwill and intangibles	834.1	811.8
Cash and cash equivalents	(1,504.4)	(1,461.7)
Short-term and long-term investments	(1,111.7)	(846.5)
Average invested capital	2,316.6	2,424.9
(c) Effective Tax Rate		
Income tax provision	211.7	213.4
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ 1,037.4	\$ 943.1
Effective tax rate	20.4%	22.6%
(a)/(b) * (1-c) Return On Invested Capital	39.0%⁽¹⁾	33.0%

⁽¹⁾ 37.7% when excluding a gain from a divestiture (\$36 million, net of tax), and a discretionary U.S. pension contribution (\$157 million, net of tax).

Other Matters

The Board of Directors does not know of any other matters that may be presented at the meeting. Our by-laws required notice by November 9, 2017 for any matter to be brought before the meeting by a shareowner. In the event of a vote on any matters other than those referred to in the accompanying Notice of 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareowners, proxies in the accompanying form will be voted in accordance with the judgment of the persons voting such proxies.

Annual Report

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, including financial statements and financial statement schedules, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, was mailed with this proxy statement to shareowners who received a printed copy of this proxy statement. A copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K is available on the internet as set forth in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials.

We will send a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K to any shareowner without charge upon written request addressed to:

Rockwell Automation, Inc.
Shareowner Relations, E-7F19
1201 South Second Street
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53204, USA
+1 (414) 382-8410

Shareowner Proposals for 2019 Annual Meeting

If a shareowner wants to submit, in accordance with SEC Rule 14a-8, a proposal for possible inclusion in our proxy statement for the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareowners, the proposal must be received by our Corporate Secretary at the address listed below by August 23, 2018.

Our by-laws provide proxy access to eligible shareowners. The proxy access by-law provides that a shareowner, or group of up to 20 shareowners, that owns 3% or more of the Company's outstanding common stock continuously for at least three years may submit director nominees for up to the greater of two directors or 20 percent of the Board (provided the shareowner and nominees satisfy specified requirements). A shareowner's notice of nomination of one or more director candidates to be included in the Company's proxy statement and ballot pursuant to Section 9 of Article II of our by-laws (a "proxy access nomination") must be delivered to our principal executive offices no earlier than July 24, 2018 and no later than August 23, 2018 (i.e., no earlier than the 150th day and no later than the 120th day before the anniversary of the date the Company filed its proxy statement for the previous year's annual meeting with the SEC).

In addition, if a shareowner wants to propose any matter for consideration of the shareowners at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareowners, other than a matter brought pursuant to SEC Rule 14a-8 or a proxy access director nomination, or the person the shareowner wants to nominate as a director, our by-laws require the shareowner

to notify our Corporate Secretary in writing at the address listed below on or after October 9, 2018 and on or before November 8, 2018. If the number of directors to be elected to the Board at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareowners is increased and we do not make a public announcement naming all of the nominees for director or specifying the increased size of the Board on or before October 29, 2018, a shareowner proposal with respect to nominees for any new position created by such increase will be considered timely if received by our Corporate Secretary not later than the tenth day following our public announcement of the increase. The specific requirements and procedures for shareowner proposals to be presented directly at an Annual Meeting are set forth in our by-laws, which are available on our website at www.rockwellautomation.com on the "Investors" page under the heading "Corporate Governance."

To be in proper form, a shareowner's notice must include the information about the proposal or nominee as specified in our by-laws.

Notices of intention to present proposals or nominate directors at the 2019 Annual Meeting, and all supporting materials required by our by-laws, must be submitted to:

Rockwell Automation, Inc.
c/o Corporate Secretary
1201 South Second Street
Milwaukee, WI 53204

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING AND VOTING

Distribution and Electronic Availability of Proxy Materials

This year we are once again taking advantage of SEC rules that allow companies to furnish proxy materials to shareowners via the internet. If you received a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials unless you specifically request one. The Notice instructs you on how to access and review this proxy statement and our 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K as well as how to vote by internet. If you received the

Notice and would still like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, you should follow the instructions for requesting these materials included in the Notice.

We will mail the Notice to certain shareowners by December 28, 2017. We will continue to mail a printed copy of this proxy statement and form of proxy to certain shareowners and we expect that mailing to begin on December 21, 2017.

Shareowners Sharing the Same Address

SEC rules permit us to deliver only one copy of our annual report and this proxy statement or the Notice to multiple shareowners who share the same address and have the same last name, unless we received contrary instructions from a shareowner. This delivery method, called "householding," reduces our printing and mailing costs. Shareowners who participate in householding will continue to receive separate proxy cards.

We will deliver promptly upon written or oral request a separate copy of our annual report and proxy statement or Notice to any shareowner who received these materials at a shared address. To receive a separate copy, please write or call Rockwell Automation Shareowner Relations, 1201 South Second Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53204, USA, telephone: +1 (414) 382-8410.

If you are a holder of record and would like to revoke your householding consent and receive a separate copy of our annual report and proxy statement or Notice in the future, please contact Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. (Broadridge), either by calling +1 (800) 542-1061 (toll free in the United States and Canada only) or by writing to Broadridge, Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717, USA. You will be removed from the householding program within 30 days.

Any shareowners of record who share the same address and wish to receive only one copy of future Notices, proxy statements and annual reports for your household should contact Rockwell Automation Shareowner Relations at the address or telephone number listed above.

If you hold your shares in street name with a broker or other nominee, please contact them for information about householding.

Questions and Answers about the Annual Meeting and Voting

What am I voting on?

You will be voting on whether to:

- elect as directors the four nominees named in this proxy statement;
- approve the selection by the Audit Committee of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2018; and
- approve on an advisory basis the compensation of our named executive officers.

Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Only holders of record of our common stock at the close of business on December 11, 2017, the record date for the meeting, may vote at the Annual Meeting. Each shareowner of record is entitled to one

vote for each share of our common stock held on the record date. On December 11, 2017, 128,392,078 shares of our common stock were outstanding and entitled to vote.

Shareowner of Record. You are considered a shareowner of record of our common stock if your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Wells Fargo Shareowner Services.

Street Name Shareowner. If you hold shares through a bank, broker or other nominee, you are considered a "beneficial owner" of shares held in "street name". If you hold shares in street name on the record date, you are entitled to vote them through your bank, broker or nominee who will send you these proxy materials and voting instructions.

Who may attend the Annual Meeting?

Shareowners as of December 11, 2017, the record date, or individuals holding their duly appointed proxies, may attend the Annual Meeting. Please note that if you hold your shares in street name through a broker or other nominee, you will need to provide a copy of a brokerage statement reflecting your stock ownership as of the record date to be admitted to the Annual Meeting. Instructions for obtaining an admittance card are on the outside back cover page of this proxy statement. You will find directions and instructions for parking and entering the building on your admittance card.

How do I vote my shares?

We encourage shareowners to vote their shares in advance of the Annual Meeting even if they plan to attend. Shareowners may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If you are a record holder and wish to vote in person at the meeting, you may vote by obtaining a ballot at the meeting. If you hold your shares in street name and wish to vote in person at the meeting, you should contact your broker or other nominee to obtain a broker's proxy card and bring it, together with proper identification and your brokerage statement reflecting your stock ownership as of the record date, to the meeting.

In addition you may vote by proxy:

- if you received a Notice, by submitting the proxy over the internet by following the instructions on the Notice; and
- if you received a paper copy of the proxy materials:
 - for shareowners of record and participants in our savings plans and Wells Fargo Shareowner Services Plus Plan (dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan), by completing, signing and returning the enclosed proxy card or direction card, or via the internet or by telephone; or
 - for shares held in street name, by using the method directed by your broker or other nominee. You may vote over the internet or by telephone if your broker or nominee makes those methods available, in which case they will provide instructions with your proxy materials.

How will my proxy be voted?

If you properly complete, sign and return a proxy or use our telephone or internet voting procedures to authorize the named proxies to vote your shares, your shares will be voted as specified. If your proxy card is signed but does not contain specific instructions, your shares will be voted as recommended by our Board, subject to applicable NYSE regulations.

For shareowners participating in our savings plans or in the Wells Fargo Shareowner Services Plus Plan, the trustee or administering bank will vote the shares that it holds for a participant's account only in accordance with instructions given in a signed, completed and returned proxy card or direction card, or in accordance with instructions given pursuant to our internet or telephone voting

procedures. If they do not receive instructions, the shares will not be voted. To allow sufficient time for voting by the trustees of the savings plans, your voting instructions for shares held in the plans must be received by February 1, 2018.

May I change my proxy after I vote my shares?

For shareowners of record, you may revoke or change your proxy at any time before it is voted at the Annual Meeting by:

- delivering a written notice of revocation to the Secretary of the Company;
- submitting a properly signed proxy card with a later date;
- casting a later vote using the telephone or internet voting procedures; or
- voting in person at the Annual Meeting (except for shares held in the savings plans).

If you hold your shares in street name, you must contact your broker or other nominee to revoke or change your proxy. Your proxy is not revoked simply because you attend the Annual Meeting.

Will my vote be confidential?

It is our policy to keep confidential all proxy cards, ballots and voting tabulations that identify individual shareowners, except (i) as may be necessary to meet any applicable legal requirements, (ii) in the case of any contested proxy solicitation, as may be necessary to permit proper parties to verify the propriety of proxies presented by any person and the results of the voting, and (iii) if a shareowner writes comments on the proxy card directed to our Board or management. Representatives of Broadridge will tabulate votes and act as the independent inspector of election at this year's meeting. The independent inspector of election and any employees involved in processing proxy cards or ballots and tabulating the vote are required to comply with this policy of confidentiality.

What is required for there to be a quorum at the Annual Meeting?

Holders of at least a majority of the shares of our common stock issued and outstanding on the record date for the Annual Meeting must be present, in person or by proxy, for there to be a quorum in order to conduct business at the meeting.

How many votes are needed to approve each of the proposals?

Proposal	Vote Required	Broker Discretionary Voting Allowed
Election of Directors	Plurality of votes cast	No
D&T Appointment	Majority of votes cast	Yes
Advisory Approval of Executive Compensation	Majority of votes cast	No

Election of Directors. Directors are elected by a plurality of votes cast. This means that the four nominees for election as directors who receive the greatest number of votes cast by the holders of our common stock entitled to vote at the meeting will become directors. In an uncontested election where the number of nominees equals the number of director seats up for election, all the nominees will be elected as long as there is a quorum and somebody votes for their election. The election of directors, however, is subject to our director resignation policy if a director fails to receive a majority vote.

Our Guidelines on Corporate Governance set forth our policy if a director is elected by a plurality of votes cast but receives a greater number of votes “withheld” from his or her election than votes “for” such election. In an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives more votes “withheld” than votes “for” his or her election must promptly tender his or her resignation to the Board. The Board Composition and Governance Committee will consider the resignation offer and make a recommendation to the Board. The Board will act on the tendered resignation within 90 days following certification of the election results. The Board Composition and Governance Committee, in making its recommendation, and the Board, in making its decision, may consider any factors or other information that it considers appropriate and relevant, including any stated reasons why the shareowners withheld votes from the director, the director’s tenure, the director’s qualifications, the director’s past and expected contributions to the Board, and the overall composition of the Board. We will promptly disclose the Board’s decision regarding whether to accept or reject the director’s resignation offer in a Form 8-K furnished to the SEC. If the Board rejects the tendered resignation or pursues any additional action, the disclosure will include the rationale behind the decision. Any director who tenders his or her resignation may not participate in the Board Composition and Governance Committee deliberations and recommendation or in the Board’s decision whether to accept or reject the resignation offer.

D&T Appointment. An affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power of our common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter is necessary to approve the D&T appointment.

Compensation of Named Executive Officers. An affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power of our common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter is necessary to approve on an advisory basis the compensation of our named executive officers, although such vote will not be binding on us.

How are votes counted?

Under Delaware law and our certificate of incorporation and by-laws, all votes entitled to be cast by shareowners present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the subject matter, whether those shareowners vote “for,” “against” or abstain from voting, will be counted for purposes of determining the

minimum number of affirmative votes required to approve the D&T appointment and approve on an advisory basis the compensation of our named executive officers.

What is the effect of an abstention?

The shares of a shareowner who abstains from voting on a matter will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting so long as the shareowner is present in person or represented by proxy. An abstention from voting on a matter by a shareowner present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting has no effect in the election of directors, but has the same legal effect as a vote “against” the proposals to approve the D&T appointment and the compensation of our named executive officers.

How will votes be counted on shares held through brokers?

Brokers are not entitled to vote on the election of directors or the advisory proposal to approve the compensation of our named executive officers, unless they receive voting instructions from the beneficial owner, however, under NYSE rules, brokers may use discretionary authority to vote on “routine” items such as the ratification of auditors. If a broker does not receive voting instructions, the broker may return a proxy card voting on routine items with no vote on the election of directors and the advisory proposal to approve the compensation of our named executive officers, which is usually referred to as a broker non-vote. The shares of a shareowner whose shares are not voted because of a broker non-vote on a particular matter will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting so long as the shareowner is represented by proxy. A broker non-vote has no effect in the election of directors or the advisory proposal to approve the compensation of our named executive officers.

Can I receive electronic access to shareowner materials?

As noted above, SEC rules permit us to furnish proxy materials to shareowners via the internet. However, we may choose to continue to provide printed copies to certain shareowners. If we send you printed copies, you can save us printing and mailing costs by electing to access proxy statements, annual reports and related materials electronically instead of receiving these documents in print. You must have an e-mail account and access to the internet and expect to have such access in the future to be eligible for electronic access to these materials. To enroll for these services, please go to https://enroll.icsdelivery.com/rok_ or visit our website at www.rockwellautomation.com, click on “Investors”, then under “Shareowner Resources”, click on “Investor Contact”, and you will find the link under the subheading “Electronic Delivery” under “Transfer Agent & Dividends”. If you own your shares through a broker or other nominee, you may contact them directly to request electronic access.

Your consent to electronic access will be effective until you revoke it. You may cancel your consent at no cost to you at any time by going to https://enroll.icsdelivery.com/rok_ and following the instructions or by contacting your broker or other nominee.

Expenses of Solicitation

We will bear the cost of the solicitation of proxies. We are soliciting proxies by mail, e-mail and through the Notice of internet Availability of the Proxy Materials. Proxies also may be solicited personally, or by telephone or facsimile, by a few of our regular employees without additional compensation. In addition, we have hired Innisfree M&A Incorporated, 501 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10022, for \$17,500 plus associated costs and expenses to assist in the solicitation. We will reimburse brokers and other persons holding stock in their names, or in the names of nominees, for their expenses for forwarding proxy materials to principals and beneficial owners and obtaining their proxies.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREOWNERS TO BE HELD ON FEBRUARY 6, 2018

This proxy statement and the Annual Report on Form 10-K for our fiscal year ended September 30, 2017, are available to you on the internet at www.proxyvote.com.

To view this material, you will need your control number from your proxy card.

The Annual Meeting (for shareowners as of the December 11, 2017 record date) will be held on February 6, 2018, at 5:30 p.m. CST at Rockwell Automation Global Headquarters, 1201 South Second Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53204, USA.

For directions to the Annual Meeting and to vote in person, please call Shareowner Relations at +1 (414) 382-8410.

Shareowners will vote at the Annual Meeting on whether to:

- 1) elect Betty C. Alewine, J. Phillip Holloman, Lawrence D. Kingsley, and Lisa A. Payne as directors;
- 2) approve the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2018; and
- 3) approve on an advisory basis the compensation of our named executive officers as described in the proxy statement.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote "FOR" the election of the four named directors and the proposals to approve Deloitte & Touche LLP and the compensation of our named executive officers.

December 13, 2017

This page intentionally left blank.

This page intentionally left blank.

This page intentionally left blank.

Rockwell Automation

Admission to the 2018 Annual Meeting

You will need an admission card (or other proof of stock ownership) and proper identification for admission to the Annual Meeting of Shareowners in Milwaukee, Wisconsin on February 6, 2018. If you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please be sure to request an admittance card by:

- marking the appropriate box on the proxy card and mailing the card using the enclosed envelope;
- indicating your desire to attend the meeting through our internet voting procedure; or
- calling our Shareowner Relations line at +1 (414) 382-8410.

An admission card will be mailed to you if:

- your Rockwell Automation shares are registered in your name; or
- your Rockwell Automation shares are held in the name of a broker or other nominee and you provide written evidence of your stock ownership as of the December 11, 2017 record date, such as a brokerage statement or letter from your broker.

Your admission card will serve as verification of your ownership.